		672
1	IN THE DISTRICT COURT (FOR THE NORTHERN	
2		DIVISION
3	GROENEVELD TRANSPORT EFFICIENCY, INC.,)
4	Plaintiff,) Judge Nugent) Cleveland, Ohio
5	VS.)) Civil Action
6	LUBECORE INTERNATIONAL,) Number 1:10CV702
7	INC.,)
8	Defendant.	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS HAD BEFORE	
10	THE HONORABLE DONALD C. NUGENT	
11	JUDGE OF SAID COURT,	
12	ON WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 19, 2011	
13	Volume 5	
13	Vol.	ume 5
14	 APPEARANCES:	
		DEBORAH J. MICHELSON, ESQ., DAVID A. KUNSELMAN, ESQ.,
14	 APPEARANCES:	DEBORAH J. MICHELSON, ESQ., DAVID A. KUNSELMAN, ESQ., Miller Goler Faeges 27th Floor
14 15	 APPEARANCES:	DEBORAH J. MICHELSON, ESQ., DAVID A. KUNSELMAN, ESQ., Miller Goler Faeges 27th Floor 100 Erieview Plaza Cleveland, OH 44114
14 15 16	APPEARANCES: For the Plaintiff:	DEBORAH J. MICHELSON, ESQ., DAVID A. KUNSELMAN, ESQ., Miller Goler Faeges 27th Floor 100 Erieview Plaza Cleveland, OH 44114 (216) 696-3366
14 15 16 17	 APPEARANCES:	DEBORAH J. MICHELSON, ESQ., DAVID A. KUNSELMAN, ESQ., Miller Goler Faeges 27th Floor 100 Erieview Plaza Cleveland, OH 44114 (216) 696-3366 THOMAS L. ANASTOS, ESQ., MELISSA ZUJOWSKI, ESQ.,
14 15 16 17	APPEARANCES: For the Plaintiff:	DEBORAH J. MICHELSON, ESQ., DAVID A. KUNSELMAN, ESQ., Miller Goler Faeges 27th Floor 100 Erieview Plaza Cleveland, OH 44114 (216) 696-3366 THOMAS L. ANASTOS, ESQ., MELISSA ZUJOWSKI, ESQ., Ulmer & Berne - Cleveland 1100 Skylight Office Tower
14 15 16 17 18	APPEARANCES: For the Plaintiff:	DEBORAH J. MICHELSON, ESQ., DAVID A. KUNSELMAN, ESQ., Miller Goler Faeges 27th Floor 100 Erieview Plaza Cleveland, OH 44114 (216) 696-3366 THOMAS L. ANASTOS, ESQ., MELISSA ZUJOWSKI, ESQ., Ulmer & Berne - Cleveland 1100 Skylight Office Tower 1660 West Second Street Cleveland, OH 44113
14 15 16 17 18 19	APPEARANCES: For the Plaintiff: For the Defendant:	DEBORAH J. MICHELSON, ESQ., DAVID A. KUNSELMAN, ESQ., Miller Goler Faeges 27th Floor 100 Erieview Plaza Cleveland, OH 44114 (216) 696-3366 THOMAS L. ANASTOS, ESQ., MELISSA ZUJOWSKI, ESQ., Ulmer & Berne - Cleveland 1100 Skylight Office Tower 1660 West Second Street Cleveland, OH 44113 (216) 583-7184
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	APPEARANCES: For the Plaintiff:	DEBORAH J. MICHELSON, ESQ., DAVID A. KUNSELMAN, ESQ., Miller Goler Faeges 27th Floor 100 Erieview Plaza Cleveland, OH 44114 (216) 696-3366 THOMAS L. ANASTOS, ESQ., MELISSA ZUJOWSKI, ESQ., Ulmer & Berne - Cleveland 1100 Skylight Office Tower 1660 West Second Street Cleveland, OH 44113 (216) 583-7184 Shirle M. Perkins, RDR, CRR U.S. District Court
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	APPEARANCES: For the Plaintiff: For the Defendant:	DEBORAH J. MICHELSON, ESQ., DAVID A. KUNSELMAN, ESQ., Miller Goler Faeges 27th Floor 100 Erieview Plaza Cleveland, OH 44114 (216) 696-3366 THOMAS L. ANASTOS, ESQ., MELISSA ZUJOWSKI, ESQ., Ulmer & Berne - Cleveland 1100 Skylight Office Tower 1660 West Second Street Cleveland, OH 44113 (216) 583-7184 Shirle M. Perkins, RDR, CRR

1 WEDNESDAY SESSION, OCTOBER 19, 2011, AT 8:40 A.M. 2 THE COURT: What exhibits are you offering? 3 MS. MICHELSON: We are offering, your Honor, 4 PX-1 through PX-58, PX-60, 61, 63, 66, and 67, 70, 73 and 08:40:23 5 74, 79, 80, 83, 86, 87. I'm sorry, 94, okay. Am I doing this right? 6 7 I'm sorry. I think I misread my own notes here. I apologize. Okay. I am going to PX-1 through 67, 70, 73 8 9 through 83, 85 through 87, 94 through 133, 135 and 36, 138, 08:40:23 10 39, 40, through 42. Did I get that right? That's what 11 we're offering into evidence, submit into evidence, your 12 Honor. THE COURT: All right. Which exhibits are you 13 14 objecting to? MR. ANASTOS: Is that all right? 08:40:23 15 16 MS. MICHELSON: Is it? Let me check. 1 17 through 67, 70, 73 through 83, 85 to 87, 94 to 133, 135 and 18 36, 138 to 142. Okay. Thank you. 19 MR. ANASTOS: Do you wants us to be able to put on the screen the ones we object to? 08:40:23 20 21 THE COURT: No. 22 MR. ANASTOS: Okay. 23 52, 53 and 54 we object to on the grounds that they 24 deal with the autolubeparts.com web page. No evidence in 08:40:23 25 this case Mr. Eissis had any control whatsoever over that

1	web page. And it would be prejudicial to put it in front of	
2	a jury. It's irrelevant. I mean it's distributor for a web	
3	page set up by distributors.	
4	60 and 61, I believe, were photographs that we do not	
08:40:23 5	believe that have been authenticated.	
6	MS. MICHELSON: I thought they were. There	
7	were objections.	
8	MS. ZUJKOWSKI: It's not authentication.	
9	MR. ANASTOS: It's both.	
08:40:23 10	MS. MICHELSON: Oh, okay.	
11	MR. ANASTOS: Preliminary.	
12	MS. ZUJKOWSKI: I said I didn't think.	
13	MS. MICHELSON: All right.	
14	MR. ANASTOS: The foundation authentication,	
08:40:23 15	60 and 61.	
16	THE COURT: Who testified about this?	
17	MS. MICHELSON: Mr. Wapenaar did on 60 and 61,	
18	your Honor. Mr. Wapenaar did testify about them. Mr. Van	
19	der Hulst, Mr. Eissis in the excerpts, specifically as to	
08:40:23 20	Exhibit 60, Mr. Wapenaar talked about Exhibit 61 as the	
21	proud camp anniversary trailer. He took the picture and he	
22	was there. He identified what it is.	
23	THE COURT: Okay.	
24	MR. ANASTOS: Shall I proceed, your Honor?	
08:40:23 25	THE COURT: Yeah.	

1 MR. ANASTOS: 70 was another exhibit related 2 to the recent pump being sold by Fuel Systems. 74 and 75 3 are Fuel Systems's web page, and 75 is a web page of an 4 entity called Lubecore Florida, LLC, which is a distributor Lubecore in this case. It is not about the distributors. 08:40:23 5 6 79 is some grease that apparently Fuel Systems, Inc. sells. 7 81 is a brochure that Fuel Systems, Inc. puts out with respect to its sale of pumps. 82 is about Advanced 8 Lubrication Systems, which is also a distributor. 95 08:40:23 10 through 102, this is a bunch of stuff that they had 11 certifications put in the record and attached trucking --12 apparently trucking industry statistics in a consultant's 13 report. No one relied on these. No one testified about 14 them. I suppose they might get over the hearsay rule by the 08:40:23 15 certification, but if we could all try our cases by putting 16 in documents that nobody's going to testify about, that's 17 how we'd do it. 18 107 -- and they have no relevance whatsoever in the 19 case. And that's why nobody testified about them. 107, I 08:40:23 20 don't believe that anybody authenticated this document. It 21 was shown to Mr. Van der Hulst, but he was not competent to 22 testify as to what it is. 23 109 through 125, I will object to on -- these are all 24 the damages exhibits from Dr. Burke. Just for the record, I 08:40:23 25 will continue to object to those on the grounds that are

1 stated in our motion in limine. 2 126 is an invoice apparently to somebody who resides 3 in Ruatoria, which I believe is New Zealand, and we all know 4 who that refers to. This refers to Brendon Cane and his 08:40:23 5 outfit. So it's irrelevant. 6 THE COURT: It's 126? 7 MR. ANASTOS: Yes, sir. And honestly, I don't even know because they would 8 9 introduce later in the case. 142 is Brendon Cane's 08:40:23 10 deposition. So we object to that. I don't even know what 11 136 through 141 are. 12 MS. MICHELSON: I'm happy to tell you. 13 MR. ANASTOS: Please. 14 MS. MICHELSON: 136 is the exhibit that Vendor Director Mike DeCleene talked about. You want extra copies? 08:40:23 15 16 MR. ANASTOS: No, I know what is. DeCleene? 17 MS. MICHELSON: Yeah. 138 is Jennifer Wolfe's 18 CV. 139 is a photograph of a lineup of the pumps that -- we 19 have that as well. We can get you a copy. And then Dr. 08:40:58 20 Burke's CV is 140. And then, yes, Mr. Cane's deposition and the video is 142 and we are -- we are offering it. 21 22 MR. ANASTOS: And what is 141? 23 MS. MICHELSON: We are not -- it's going to be 24 the -- it will be the CV of Dr. Rashidi, who is going to be 08:40:58 25 a rebuttal witness we are planning to call to testify, your

Honor. So I can wait on that until the rebuttal case. 1 2 THE COURT: What are the photographs ranging from? I don't have that. 136, 141. 3 4 MS. MICHELSON: Can you please give to the Court 136, 138 through 142? 08:40:58 5 6 THE COURT: I just need the photographs. 7 know what the CV's are and the transcript is. MS. MICHELSON: Okay. The Judge has asked --8 9 MR. ANASTOS: Okay. With respect to the 08:40:58 10 brochure that Mr. DeCleene brought from that trade show, we 11 believe that it was misleading because there was an effort 12 to show that the Lubecore portion of that document was in 13 black and white. We were not presented with the whole 14 document. The jury was not presented with the whole 08:40:58 15 document, and we looked through -- when we looked at it 16 sitting here afterwards, the color -- the cover was in color 17 and everybody's -- everybody's exhibit information was in black and white, and we think it's prejudicial for the 18 19 entrance to be drawn. 08:40:58 20 THE COURT: What number is that? 21 MR. ANASTOS: That was 136. 22 THE COURT: Okay. I don't have that. 23 MR. ANASTOS: I don't -- I don't have a copy 24 of it in front of me right now. 136. 08:40:58 25 MS. ZUJKOWSKI: That's just the two pages, but

1	yeah.	
2	MS. MICHELSON: I didn't keep the whole thing,	
3	but	
4	MS. ZUJKOWSKI: Well, he used it on the stand.	
08:40:58 5	She asked him to show him, it was the color of the copy.	
6	And it left the inference that ours was the only non-colored	
7	ad, and we need the whole brochure.	
8	MR. ANASTOS: Document in evidence.	
9	MS. ZUJKOWSKI: The full document would show	
08:40:58 10	the jury that everyone's ad was in black and white.	
11	MS. MICHELSON: I think you made that point,	
12	didn't you?	
13	MS. ZUJKOWSKI: No, I didn't. That was after	
14	you he came up and Mike DeCleene gave us the exhibit the	
08:40:58 15	first time.	
16	THE COURT: I think he testified that	
17	MS. ZUJKOWSKI: He testified that the copy	
18	first he testified that he didn't know if everything was in	
19	black and white, and you went up on redirect and said what	
08:40:58 20	is the is the front cover of that thing in color, and he	
21	said yes, and he left it at that.	
22	THE COURT: Right.	
23	MR. ANASTOS: And we didn't get a chance to	
24	ask whether all the other exhibits	
08:40:58 25	MS. ZUJKOWSKI: We never saw anything.	

1	MS. MICHELSON: Yes. I handed it to you.
2	THE COURT: I got it. I got it.
3	MR. ANASTOS: I still don't know what 137 is.
4	Pictures?
08:40:58 5	MS. MICHELSON: Well, that might be part of
6	the rebuttal case as well, and what the case-in-chief is.
7	MR. ANASTOS: Object to the Wolfe CV for the
8	grounds we stated previously. 139 is pump photos?
9	MR. KUNSELMAN: Yes.
08:40:58 10	MS. MICHELSON: You need a copy of that?
11	MR. ANASTOS: I do.
12	THE COURT: Is the jury up, Jeanie?
13	DEPUTY CLERK: They were on their way up. So.
14	THE COURT: Let me know.
08:40:58 15	DEPUTY CLERK: She wasn't sure if they were
16	all even here.
17	MR. ANASTOS: We're okay with 139. 140, the
18	Burke curriculum vitae, we object to for the grounds we
19	stated. 141, the CV of Dr. Rashidi. He is supposedly going
08:40:58 20	to be offered as a rebuttal expert. We have no expert
21	witnesses. So we object to his his CV. And 142 I think
22	we already stated is the deposition of Brendon Cane, and we
23	object to that being included.
24	THE COURT: Okay. What's the relevance of 52,
08:40:58 25	53 and 54, Ms. Michelson?

1	MS. MICHELSON: The relevance is, your Honor,	
2	likelihood of confusion on uncertainty in the marketplace	
3	about the source and the relationship of the two companies,	
4	the source of the products, the relationship of the	
08:40:58 5	companies. It's information that's publicly available.	
6	It's advertising materials. There is there is not a	
7	requirement that Mr. Eissis controlled the web site, rather	
8	he allows it to exist, he knows it exists. There are	
9	hyperlinks to his own web site, which he does have to allow,	
08:40:58 10	and it is it is relevant to the confusion the	
11	confusion elements in the case. It is authenticated. There	
12	is law that we have submitted to the case to the Court in a	
13	memorandum of law on the admissibility of this kind of	
14	evidence, specifically as well I believe in these kinds of	
08:40:58 15	cases.	
16	THE COURT: How about how about 60 and 61,	
17	photos?	
18	MS. MICHELSON: I'm sorry, did you	
19	MR. KUNSELMAN: 60 and 61.	
08:40:58 20	MS. MICHELSON: And they object to the basis	
21	of authentication?	
22	MS. ZUJKOWSKI: I think it's really	
23	foundation.	
24	MS. MICHELSON: Because my understanding was	
08:41:36 25	there wasn't going to be objections on authentication, but	

1	I notwithstanding, the document has been both of them
2	have been authenticated, and there has been a sufficient
3	foundation. A photograph is authenticated properly by
4	testimony that the thing of what the thing in it depicts,
08:41:36 5	that the photograph depicts what is in it, and there was
6	testimony on Exhibit 60 by Mr. Van der Hulst, by
7	Mr. Wapenaar, and Mr. Eissis himself has testified that it
8	is the Lubecore pump in the photograph. And on 61,
9	Mr. Wapenaar, that's the Lubecore Kreilkamp "Anniversary
08:41:36 10	Trailer" photo, he said. He testified to what that was and
11	that the and where he even got that picture. And as to
12	60, I don't I don't even know that that was a dispute
13	because Mr. Eissis has freely admitted and testified
14	throughout this case that they were at the Toronto trade
08:41:36 15	show, and this was a picture of his pump being launched, the
16	product in Toronto. So I don't really know what the problem
17	is on that.
18	MR. ANASTOS: I'm not sure any of that
19	testimony was submitted in their case. That's what we're
08:41:36 20	talking about; not what happened in depositions.
21	MS. MICHELSON: Did
22	THE COURT: Yeah, I don't remember that
23	either.
24	MS. MICHELSON: And I don't want to misspeak.
08:41:36 25	I really don't, your Honor, but I do believe I put it in as

1 part of Mr. Eissis' excerpt testimony. 2 THE COURT: We can hold off on that. 3 MS. MICHELSON: But, I can check that because 4 I don't want to misstate anything to the Court. THE COURT: And 70, who testified about that? 08:41:36 5 6 MS. MICHELSON: You're not going to object to 7 67? THE COURT: He didn't -- hear me out. Who 8 objected to -- what's the -- what is 70 and why is it 9 08:41:36 10 relevant? Who testified about it? 11 MS. MICHELSON: The relevance is that when 12 distributor -- the relevance is to the labeling issue here. 13 And in this case, the Defense has taken the position that nobody -- that the label says it all, and that labeling is 14 08:41:36 15 dispositive here, and the fact that there is evidence of relabeling a product in the industry, relabeling products by 16 17 people involved in this industry, relabeling of our products 18 by Lubecore distributors, and the -- and the -- as well as 19 all the multiple labels that appear on all these products, 08:41:36 20 it's relevant. I understand they're going to argue about 21 that, but that does not make it not relevant. In fact, it 22 makes it highly relevant. 23 THE COURT: Got you, got you, got you. 24 All right. And 74 and 75, web page again? 08:41:36 25 MS. MICHELSON: 74, yes, 75 -- as to 75, I

1	will, with candor, your Honor, tell you there has not been
2	testimony in this trial on that web page. And I don't want
3	to misrepresent anything to the Court along those lines. As
4	to the other web pages, there has that we're seeking to
08:41:36 5	offer, there has indeed been testimony on it. And so I
6	would bring that to the Court's attention.
7	THE COURT: 79 is a picture of?
8	MS. MICHELSON: Yes, Mr. DeCleene testified to
9	that.
08:41:36 10	THE COURT: What's the relevance?
11	MS. MICHELSON: Relabeling of grease and
12	products and associated products. Again, it's the
13	relabeling issue. And in this particular picture, what's
14	relabeled is Lubecore grease with a green label that has
08:41:36 15	Groeneveld, other identifiers on it. Again, it goes to
16	this the confusion and uncertainty that's being generated
17	here about the relationship, in a relationship connection,
18	quality of the products and those sorts of issues.
19	THE COURT: Okay. 81 and 82?
08:41:36 20	MR. ANASTOS: There's no evidence that that
21	THE COURT: I understand.
22	MR. ANASTOS: relabeled was Lubecore
23	grease.
24	THE COURT: Right. I understand. 81 and 82?
08:41:36 25	MS. MICHELSON: Okay. Let me take a quick

1	look, your Honor. Yes, I will tell the Court I do not
2	believe there was testimony thus far on 81. 82, that's
3	Scott Marcum's web site, and he he, you know, the
4	representation here was that Mr. Marcum, they were going to
08:41:36 5	play Mr. Marcum's entire testimony, and he does authenticate
6	this, and he does discuss it in the excerpts that we
7	offered. I understood that there was not going to be any
8	kind of objection to authentication. And based on that, I
9	didn't I didn't pull out additional testimony from
08:42:03 10	Mr. Marcum, but it's a web page and
11	THE COURT: How is it relevant to this case?
12	MS. MICHELSON: It explains the different
13	systems, and it explains and is part of Mr. Marcum's
14	testimony. It Mr. Marcum, in the in the excerpts that
08:42:07 15	we put in, Mr. Marcum specifically talks about things on his
16	web page, and to see in context what he said about it, and
17	then what is in here, that is my argument there, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Then we have 95 to 102.
19	MS. MICHELSON: And I will just tell you, your
08:42:07 20	Honor, my team over here did confirm in the Eissis excerpts
21	that we offered in, Mr. Eissis himself did, in fact,
22	identify and authenticate Exhibit 60.
23	THE COURT: Got you.
24	MS. MICHELSON: And I'm sorry, sir. You
08:42:11 25	wanted

1 THE COURT: 95 to 102, the certification of 2 records. 3 MS. MICHELSON: They're certified copies of 4 records about the truck industry. On one of them, there was 08:42:11 5 specific testimony, in the very least, PX-101. But, in any 6 event, it's relevant to the market and the availability 7 market in the area for expansion. In the industry, which 8 as we all know, had briefed all these issues as we have, expansion, expansion, room for growth. That is one of the 08:42:14 10 eight factors in the likelihood of confusion analysis; 11 expansion in the marketplace. And the there is an open 12 marketplace that contributes to a likelihood of confusion or 13 uncertainty. And it's -- they're certified business 14 They're certified government records. I --08:42:14 15 they're authenticated, and they're admissible under the Rules of Evidence. 16 17 THE COURT: 107. MS. MICHELSON: 107, yep, Mr. -- these are 18 19 Lubecore documents that were submitted. They're -- I 08:42:17 20 believe Mr. Van der Hulst talked about these. They're Lubecore assembly drawings of its pump that Mr. Van der 21 22 Hulst commented on. That's what they are. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Then I know you've 24 got Burke's paperwork. And 126? 08:42:17 25 MS. MICHELSON: Your Honor, I will again

1	candidly tell you with respect to 126 and 122, we understand
2	the Court's ruling on those items. They are related to
3	Brendon Cane. They were part of our proffer so that we can
4	make a record. We, of course I don't want to spend your
08:42:21 5	time repeating our arguments there. I believe you
6	THE COURT: All right.
7	MS. MICHELSON: already heard them.
8	THE COURT: Exhibit 52, 53, 54, 70, 74, 75,
9	79, 81, 82, 95 through 102, 109 through 125, 126, 142, 141's
08:42:24 10	not offered, 137's not offered. The objection is sustained.
11	139, the objection is overruled. 60 and 61, the objection's
12	overruled. And now you have to tell me 136 is what again?
13	Oh, I got it. The objection is sustained. 137 is the CV's
14	of both Wolfe and Burke. The objections are sustained as to
08:42:24 15	the CV's.
16	MR. ANASTOS: There's one that I don't know
17	if we got Ms I think we objected to 57 as the Fuel
18	Systems, Inc. also. We did not?
19	THE COURT: No. We'll do the Rule 50 motion
08:42:27 20	on a break. Are we ready?
21	DEPUTY CLERK: Yeah.
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	(Proceedings resumed in the presence of the jury:)
24	MS. MICHELSON: I'm sorry to interrupt, your
08:42:27 25	Honor. We didn't hear the last thing you said on 57. We

1	didn't catch that.
2	THE COURT: He didn't object to it.
3	MS. MICHELSON: All right.
4	THE COURT: So you see that Shirle brought her
08:42:27 5	sister, Gloria, here today, huh? Well, I know some of you
6	had a tough time getting in. As soon as we have one or two
7	rain drops, we can't figure out which highway is knocked out
8	or something like that. But, we appreciate your promptness.
9	Okay.
08:42:46 10	You can continue playing Mr. Vermeulen's testimony.
11	(Videotape of Martin Vermeulen continued.)
12	THE COURT: Didn't they tell us yesterday this
13	was two hours long?
14	MR. ANASTOS: Two hours more we said, your
10:19:11 15	Honor.
16	THE COURT: I don't think so.
17	(Laughter.)
18	THE COURT: I know you were paying attention.
19	We were already listening three hours. Anyway I guess we
10:19:20 20	have a half hour more to go. So we'll take our break,
21	morning recess at this time. So keep in mind the
22	admonition.
23	(Thereupon, a recess was taken.)
24	THE COURT: That's one of the prices you get
11:14:49 25	for living in America.

1	(Laughter.)
2	THE COURT: They have this thing all set up
3	and established after a lot of things occurred to try to
4	have it work like clock work. If the real thing happened,
11:14:58 5	we wouldn't know. We'd have to just go.
6	So you can continue playing Mr. Vermeulen.
7	(Videotape of Martin Vermeulen played.)
8	MR. ANASTOS: That's the end, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Call your next witness
11:24:01 10	then.
11	MR. ANASTOS: We call Mr. Jan Eissis.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Eissis.
13	You notice we're not going to administer the oath
14	again of the once a person has testified in the hearing and
11:24:12 15	resume the witness stand. No need to readminister the oath.
16	Just identify yourself for us.
17	MS. MICHELSON: Excuse me, your Honor. Your
18	Honor?
19	THE COURT: I can hear.
11:24:25 20	MS. MICHELSON: Yes, the testimony that
21	Mr. Eissis gave previously wasn't live in court. So I don't
22	know if that h as impact on the need to administer an oath
23	or not. I just thought I'd mention it.
24	THE COURT: Thought you'd remind me? Go
11:24:42 25	ahead.

I wouldn't think you put a witness on that didn't take an oath. MS. MICHELSON: Correct. I didn't know if it had an impact. 11:24:54 5 THE COURT: Okay.

690 Eissis - Direct 1 JAN EISSIS, 2 of lawful age, a witness called by the DEFENSE, 3 being previously duly sworn, was examined 4 and testified as follows: 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF JAN EISSIS 11:24:55 6 BY MR. ANASTOS: 7 Good morning, Mr. Eissis. Q. Good morning. 8 Α. How are you today? Q. 11:24:59 10 Α. Good. 11 Mr. Eissis, is there any family members of yours in 0. 12 the courtroom today? 13 Yes, there are. Α. 14 Q. Would you? 11:25:07 15 My wife Linda and my son Cameron are here. Α. Can you please tell the jury a little bit of your 16 Q. 17 background? 18 I have part of it. Α. 19 Education, where were you born, when did you come to Q. 11:25:22 20 an English-speaking country? 21 Okay. Α. 22 I was born in a small town in the Netherlands called 23 Dwingeloo, northern part of Holland. 24 THE COURT: Probably have to spell that for 11:25:32 25 us.

691

	- ·
Eissis	- Direct

	Eissis - Direct			
1	THE WITNESS: Excuse me?			
2	THE COURT: Probably have to spell the town.			
3	THE WITNESS: Oh, D-W-I-N-G-E-L-O-O.			
4	THE COURT: Go ahead.			
11:25:43 5	THE WITNESS: I was born in Hammond, but I			
6	grew up in Dwingeloo.			
7	(Laughter.)			
8	THE COURT: That cleans it up.			
9	THE WITNESS: Sorry about that. And I grew up			
11:25:53 10	there. I went to school in high school. After high school			
11	I went to a technical school. It's called the middle			
12	technical school. So you first have a common education like			
13	high school. At about the age of 16, you can choose a			
14	technical direction. That's what I did. So I focused on			
11:26:10 15	mechanical engineering. It's three years in the classroom			
16	and one year in the field, with different companies. After			
17	that, because my dad had a small garage car dealership, I			
18	went and took an additional year that was more focused on			
19	automotive. And after that year I took a commercial course			
11:26:30 20	for a year, and that was also focused on the automotive			
21	industry. So I did six years after high school. However, I			
22	do not have a university degree. It's more like a medium			
23	level, I think. So then in 1986 I ended up working in			
24	Canada for three months to learn the English language			
11:26:56 25	better. I wanted to speak technical English better. I			

11:28:25 25

11:28:06 20

11:27:47 15

11:27:15 5

11:27:31 10

worked in garage there, and I noticed how a lot of trucks came in there were replacing kingpins and hangers and components you normally had to grease. I also had to grease myself by hand. This was in Canada. We did a lot of work for a company called Superior Propane. So I actually, literally lying on the back the knees of the tank trucks and pumping the grease and the meantime on the tanks and the reservoir is kind of turning down the tanks, come to the middle greasing components, and it was all dripping on me.

Electrical lying on the ground and you run over that and get shocked once in a while, and I thought I just can't imagine, you know, that people don't use automatic lubrication system because I had seen those in the Netherlands, during my education. And in the period before that, for my school, I worked at a truck dealership, and a lot of the trucks were getting automatic lubrication system. So even though I intended to actually get into my dad's business, I then thought it might be an opportunity that I started a business in automatic greasing in Canada. Automatic greasing systems there had a good time. So after that, I sent an automation to several companies. I sent it away to Vogel, to Groeneveld, and to Lincoln. Those are the three companies I had seen in the Netherlands.

And those three companies, you know, Canada responded from Lincoln. I found out that they had quite a network

1 already in Canada, and there was not really a spot for me. 2 Vogel already had one distributor in Canada, and Groeneveld 3 responded that they really didn't have anybody in Canada. 4 So I ended up visiting Groeneveld as well. I had meetings 11:28:44 5 or a meeting with a gentleman from Lincoln that came by, who 6 told me pretty much there was an opportunity. So I started 7 looking at the Groeneveld Products. And actually, just before that, then I got called in to go into the army, like 8 mandatory serve in the army. Ended up, you know, going into 11:29:03 10 the army. And after three or four months I got released 11 because of my plans to immigrate. So I had to talk to the 12 captain in my unit, and I told them I was immigrating to 13 Canada to start his business. So that if push came to 14 shove, I wouldn't be fighting for it and invest money in me. 11:29:22 15 So I showed integration intentions, got released out 16 of army for a reason, and ended up immigrating to Canada in 17 January, 1988. So I came to Canada. 18 Let me stop you there and ask, you came to Canada; did Q. 19 you form a company when you came to Canada? 11:29:38 20 Yes. Α. 21 What was the name of that company? Q. 22 The company was called Canadian Precision Lubrication Α. 23 System, and I was CPL Systems. That's what it was. 24 January, 1988, I started. 11:29:51 25 Q. What was the business of CPL?

1 A. Automatic greasing systems.

3

4

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

11:31:23 25

11:31:05 20

11:30:44 15

11:30:11 5

11:30:23 10

- 2 **Q.** Which automatic greasing systems did you distribute?
 - A. I bought ten automatic greasing systems from Groeneveld, and my mom bought me a new suit, and I immigrated to Canada.
 - Q. Did you become an authorized distributor for Groeneveld?
 - It was not really an authorized distributorship. At Α. one point, they gave me a letter of intention to do business with me. But, it kind of -- it was more or less, I quess, formal because they sold me the products. When the company started evolving, the letter of intent was written for the Ontario or southern Ontario if I remember well. What ended up happening was I think we actually became quite successful, the CPL, and I started assessing up distributors for myself. I recognized how large the country was, and you know, drive four or five hours to the next town is quite common, and I realized I had a problem. Four or five hours away, that I could not effectively serve as that. Customer would call me, "My grease system does not work," nobody really knew a lot about these things. So I had to drive five hours to go fix it. So I chose to find technical people that could fix the systems and they became distributors, or dealers as they called them and, you know, Groeneveld just kept selling me parts. And before you know

- Eissis Direct it, we had a nice business going in Canada, selling many, many automatic greasing systems. And through a distributor network CPL systems, all the distributors took on my name, and that's what happened. And you eventually expand that distributorship network into the United States? No, not really. But, eventually what happened is that Α. my company was bought by Mr. Groeneveld. It was in 2001, Groeneveld had actually, in the meantime, started in the United States themselves. And we got conflicts over customers because customers that would be both in Canada and the United States. For example, Safeway Foods in those
- 14 be my customers, and we had -- we had conflicts about that. 11:32:26 15 And at that time, Mr. Groeneveld offered me money to sell 16 the company, and I thought about it. And in 2001 I sold
 - 17 part of the company, and 80 percent actually, and in 2004 I 18 ended up selling the rest.

days, so now Groeneveld was going after what I considered to

- Okay. Let's back up just a little bit. The --Q.
- Watch it. It's leaking, Tom. Α.
- Q. This pump has been marked as Defense Exhibit A. you recognize this pump?
- Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

19

21

22

23

11:32:54 20

11:31:44 5

11:32:04 10

24 Is that the pump that you -- is that the EP-0 pump for 11:33:16 25 Groeneveld that you were selling from CPL in 1988?

- 1 A. No, I sold a different pump than that.
- 2 **Q.** Showing you what's been marked as DX-Y, would you like me to bring it up there? I will.
 - A. I believe you.
- 11:34:02 5 Q. Do you recognize this pump?
 - 6 A. Yes, I do.

4

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

11:35:22 25

11:34:44 20

11:34:34 15

11:34:15 10

- Q. What pump is that?
 - A. That is a pump that I came to Canada in 1988. Those are the pumps that were on my pallet, that style of pump, and I sold to -- many of them for the first, I would say at least a couple of years, that was the pump that we sold.
 - Q. How does that pump differ from the pump that's marked -- how is the pump marked DX-Y different from the pump marked DX-A?
 - A. I would have to look at the stickers here. If you bring them over here, I can show the difference. If you want me to go there, I can do that, too.
 - Q. I think you better stay by your microphone. You're on tape.
 - A. Okay. Okay. These two pumps here are totally different. You see first of all, the reservoir glass was different. The reservoir glass is made out of one piece here, and it has two external vents on the outside. Then also on this housing here, this here, like the reservoir bolts down with the bolts, and you can see how the pump

11:36:46 25

11:36:33 20

11:36:17 15

11:35:42 5

11:36:01 10

housing there, it's clearly different than the pump housing of this pump. Like this pump is all the way around, and the reservoir glass is actually hold on by the guide rod and by the lid. So also this pump here has a — a steel plate in the bottom, and this steel plate is compared to an aluminum casting in the bottom of this pump.

Obviously this pump comes out of a different tool to make it to aluminum then the pump over here.

MS. MICHELSON: Objection, foundation.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: These — the issues that we had with this pump, for example, is when it caught cold in Canada. It started to freeze because this is an aluminum housing and had a steel plate. There was a holding in between there. So we have problems. We had air leaks in the field. So people say my system comes on, what happens? Air supply to the bottom through solder rod. The steel plate would act different than the aluminum in the cold weather and actually create an air leak in the bottom of the pump. So my customers are complaining your pumps are leaking air.

Another thing was this reservoir glass. The reservoir glass is a big problem for us because it does not have a follower plate. The follower plate was very important because if you put this pump straight up, if you look in the

11:38:16 25

11:37:55 20

11:37:35 15

11:37:01 5

11:37:19 10

inside there, there's actually a hole in the center. That hole is where the grease has to suck in. There's a piston inside that goes down. It creates a vacuum, and it sucks the grease in.

When the grease is being sucked in, the grease, you can expect the levels on the top here. What happens is that the grease in the middle is sucking in. So we would have pumps that on the outside look like they were three-quarters full, and the customer would call, say my system is not greasing, my pump is not working, and we would find out then that the grease had actually made a little hole right into the middle and on the inside it was actually sucking air.

So the problem was in the climate we had there.

That's the grease would not shut down to the bottom of the pump, and the people could only run the pumps for a very limited amount of time before they would suck air and stop working. So there was a big problem for us to deal with.

So inside this pump, was an aluminum piston, and this aluminum piston had air leaks for that. This check fall on the side of this pump here, installed about 120 of these for at least CN Rail, one of my first large deals. And CN Rail actually had problems that the pumps would not come off pressure, so this little shut off valve that goes inside here. Like if you take the pump from Groeneveld, the cut-away, you could see that, but it would get stuck. So I

actually had to go across Canada to every 150 miles of railroad to get shut off valves out of the pump and get them fixed. So I realized I needed help, and that's where I got really convinced I had to work with the distributors to go across Canada and to fix these — to fix these problems.

So that was just some of the differences that you can just clearly see on the outside of this pump. Another you can see with this pump here, for example, is that the bolts here are corroded in the housing like these are steel bolts, and they go into aluminum housing so if you want to take this pump off, these — these bolts would break off and then rotted actually in this housing. So if I wanted to take that out, I take a hammer to it, actually break the ears off the pump. So that's a number of things that were not good about this pump.

So at that time, Vermeulen was working at Groeneveld. I would complain about these things. And he basically took some of my input, and the next pump that I received looked a lot like this one here.

Q. Let's back up a second, Mr. Eissis.

The pump that's been marked DX-AA, that is an EP-0 pump?

- **A.** DX-8A?
- Q. Excuse me. AA, the shorter one.
- A. Oh, no this is the A, actually.

11:38:50 10

11:38:34 5

13

11

12

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

14

11:39:07 15

17

18

19

11:39:20 20

21

22

23

24

11:39:34 25

700

Eissis - Direct

- 1 Q. AA. No, I'm sorry. Y. My mistake?
- 2 A. The DX-Y, yeah?
- 3 Q. DX-Y. Is that EP-0 pump?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 11:39:43 5 Q. And that's the pump you were selling for Groeneveld in
 - 6 1988?
 - 7 **A.** Yes.
 - 8 Q. Okay. Are you aware of another pump EP-0 by
 - 9 Groeneveld that preceded that one?
- 11:39:56 10 A. Yes, I do, I am.
 - 11 **Q.** I'm putting in front of you what's been marked as
 - DX-AA. Do you recognize that?
 - 13 **A.** Yes, I do.
 - 14 **Q.** Where do you recognize it from?
- 11:40:27 15 A. I recognize it from being in the Netherlands. I would
 - 16 | say in 1986 when I was working at a truck dealership, and I
 - 17 | recognize it from being on equipment that was already on the
 - 18 road. Like this pump was an earlier predecessor of this
 - 19 pump here, and that pump I had folders of it actually on
- machines, and I've seen it on the road myself, and this pump
 - 21 | actually -- I actually found a few of them used in Canada.
 - 22 Q. Can you describe, please, to the jury -- first of all,
 - is that an EP-0 pump?
 - 24 A. Yes, that is an EP-0 pump.
- 11:41:07 25 **Q.** Single stroke?

- **A.** Yes, it is.
- **Q.** Piston operated?
- **A.** Yes.
- **Q.** And the -- one that's marked DX-Y next to it, is that a single-stroke pump?
 - **A.** Yes.
 - **o.** Piston driven?
 - 8 A. Yeah.
 - Q. Okay. Can you please explain to the jury the differences between DX-AA and DX-Y?
 - **A.** This first one here?
 - **Q.** Yes.

11:41:20 10

11:42:25 25

11:42:06 20

11:41:40 15

A. Okay. This is the DX-AA, correct. So DX-AA has again a similar housing on the bottom there. However, like you can see here is if you look on the front of this pump, in the bottom, in the bottom of this pump is an extra check valve, right here for that. In this particular pump here, that's actually very similar to this one as well. Right here is a pressing switch for the check valve. This particular pump here does have that. This pump just has a bolt here. You can see as a result of that, that the pump of this pump is shaped different again because the check valve is not in there and the channels are. You can see the casting is different there again. This pump again does not

have ears. Also inside this pump, you see a little plate

1 here or an effort. You see an internal vent. There's a rod 2 that goes through the center that is kind of off center 3 there. On this side here, that's an internal vent. And 4 this here is a rod that holds to the reservoir down. So this reservoir down here is held down from not in the 11:42:42 5 6 middle, to where, for example, this pump here, this is held 7 down in the middle. So this pump here, you can actually use a follower plate with. That's what Martin was talking about 8 9 as well. In here you could not do that because the way this 11:43:01 10 is all situated. So inside that pump -- I never sold this 11 pump but work with it. I see them on the road, see them in 12 the field, but that pump inside I don't know that well. 13 Actually would somebody have a little napkin or -- thank 14 you. You can keep that. The pump that was marked DX-Y, the 11:43:45 15 Q. 16 one we were just looking at? 17 DX-AA, this one here. Α. 18 Yes, sorry. AA. My mistake. Thank you. It doesn't Q. 19 have a follower plate, but there's something inside the reservoir, isn't there? 11:44:02 20 Yeah, that's actually this blade, this round circle 21 22 thing here. I think they tried to do that to stop the 23 cavitating, that funneling. However, I don't think it 24 worked because they didn't put it in this one, but I don't 11:44:18 25 know about that myself.

- Now, you were here earlier when Mr. Van der Hulst testified, weren't you?
- 3 A. Yeah.
- 4 Q. I believe Mr. Van der Hulst's testimony was that this
- pump, meaning the far one, the one you just pointed to,
 - 6 which is DXA?
 - 7 A. Correct.
 - 8 Q. Came into existence in the early 80's and the look
 - 9 hadn't changed except for only minor tweaks. Do you recall
- 11:44:49 10 that?
 - 11 **A.** Yes.
 - 12 **Q.** Do you agree with that testimony?
 - 13 A. No, I don't. I think these pumps look totally
 - 14 different.
- 11:44:54 15 Q. For the reasons you stated?
 - 16 **A.** Pardon?
 - 17 **Q.** For all the reasons you already stated?
 - 18 **A.** Yes.
- Q. When did you start selling the pump that's been marked as DX-A? Not that one.
 - 21 A. This one here?
 - 22 **Q.** Yeah.
 - 23 A. That pump, actually I don't exactly know. I tried to
 - go by the photos, but I believe that that was early in the
- 11:45:20 25 early 90's.

704

			Eissis - Direct	704
	1	Q.	Okay.	
	2	A.	Like I would say, very early 90's, like '91 or so or	r
	3	' 90 ma	aybe.	
	4	Q.	I'm going to clear these out of your way so everyboo	dy
11:45:30	5	can se	ee you.	
	6	A.	Okay.	
	7	Q.	And I need to borrow my handkerchief.	
	8	A.	Yeah, I thought you didn't want it back.	
	9		THE COURT: You told him he could keep it.	
11:45:48	10		MR. ANASTOS: I said borrow.	
-	11		THE COURT: I thought you said he could keep	p
-	12	it.		
-	13		MR. ANASTOS: No. I only said I want to	
-	14	borro	w it back.	
11:46:08	15		THE WITNESS: Actually, you're nice to me,	
-	16	Debbie	e. I can't believe it.	
-	17		(Laughter.)	
-	18		MR. ANASTOS: It's early in the day.	
-	19		THE WITNESS: I know.	
11:46:17 2	20		MS. MICHELSON: I won't respond.	
2	21		(Laughter.)	
2	22	BY MR	. ANASTOS:	
2	23	Q.	Now, I think you've already said this, but there did	d
2	24	come a	a time that you sold your business, CPL, to Groenevel	ld;
11:46:36 2	25	is tha	at correct?	

1 **a.** Yes.

6

11:47:04 10

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

11:47:33 20

11:47:20 15

- 2 **Q.** And when was that?
- A. I sold 80 percent of my shares in CPL Systems in 2001, and the other 20 percent actually was end of 2003, supposed
- to become effective in beginning in '04.
 - Q. Did you continue to work for Groeneveld then?
 - 7 A. Yes, I did.
 - 8 **Q.** What was your position?
 - A. I'm more or less kept them doing what I did, and I called myself the president at that time.
 - 11 **Q.** So --
 - A. Essentially what I was doing involved sales marketing, installation, writing technical bulletins. I always call it overcoming obstacles and providing tools for the people that
 - Q. In your experience working with the pump that's been marked as DX-A, the pump at issue in this litigation?
 - A. Okay, yeah.

I work with.

- Q. What was your assessment of that pump when you were working with it?
- A. Can you please repeat that?
- Q. What was your assessment of that pump when you were working with it?
- A. I was excited to see that some of the issues that we had that were -- that were being dealt with, the cavitation,

706 Eissis - Direct 1 the follower plate, the different things, mounting of the 2 reservoir. 3 Did you think it was a good pump? Q. 4 Α. Yes. Did you think that any other pumps in the industry 11:47:54 5 6 were better, any other single stroke pneumatically driven 7 pumps? No, actually I pretty much let Groeneveld bleed blood, 8 9 I would say, and I like that pump a lot, yeah. 11:48:09 10 Ο. Did the re come a time when you and Groeneveld parted 11 ways? 12 Α. Yes. 13 When was that? Q. 14 Α. That happened in January of 2007. 11:48:19 15 What happened? Q. 16 MS. MICHELSON: I'm going to object, your 17 Honor. 18 THE COURT: Overruled. 19 THE WITNESS: What happened was I had made a 11:48:28 20 new -- at the end of 2003, the way my contract read from 21 Groeneveld is that when they bought 80 percent of the 22 shares, the last 20 percent, I would stay on for three years 23 and then after three years, they are going to buy the last

30 percent of the shares. And at that time, I actually

thought I wanted to go into real estate. I got a little bit

24

11:48:44 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

11:49:58 25

11:49:47 20

11:49:33 15

11:49:17 10

11:48:59

of -- I got money for the company from Groeneveld, and I had an uncle that was in real estate. And he was quite successful, and I thought that I would want to do what he did. And we actually ended up buying some real estate, and I couldn't think of anything more boiling than that. I just -- I just didn't like it at all. We did pretty much on the side then. We had a few investments. So when the end of 2003 came around, or even just before that, Groeneveld approached me and he asked me because at that time we were selling a lot more product in Canada than his own company he was selling in the United States. And Canada's only one-tenth of the market of the United States. So he asked me if I wanted to be involved in running his business into the United States as well. And so what ended up happening was I made a plan for him. I said I would want to do it the same way I did it in Canada. And I came to that plan to him, and then he asked me to make a ten-year commitment to his company to save ten years to grow the company in the United States.

MS. MICHELSON: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: And for me to -- ten years seemed like a lot of time. I was, you know, early 40's, I would say at that time. And I couldn't see myself making a ten-year commitment to anybody. So I -- we made a year

1 commitment. So I had a six-year deal with Groeneveld. 2 had a bonus agreement, a signed bonus agreement, and there 3 was a six-year plan where they wanted to grow -- you know, 4 into the United States. And there was a bonus formula attached to that, and the bonus formula was based on about 11:50:19 5 6 15 percent Groeneveld people growth. I ended up growing the 7 company more than 30 percent per year. So in three years time, we pretty much doubled the company in size, and as a 8 result, my bonuses were quite lucrative. It was a good 11:50:36 10 time, and I must say I really enjoyed that time as well 11 because, you know, we could -- we could move things. 12 could make plans, and we could -- we could go ahead. 13 then what happened is that in November of 2008, all of a 14 sudden, there was a total change of November of 2006. There 11:50:54 15 was a budget meeting. I was asked to produce a budget with 16 30 percent growth. I was excited about it because it meant, 17 you know, another -- we did 33 million in sales at that 18 time. And so it meant an extra 10 million in sales. It 19 meant hiring extra sales people, hiring extra mechanics, 11:51:12 20 coming up with ways to find \$10 million more business in one 21 So it was an exciting plan for me. We presented a 22 plan and essentially never looked at my plan and they 23 totally changed direction, said we're not going to do this 24 anymore. We are now -- we actually want you to not grow any 11:51:29 25 more at all, and we want you to reduce costs, and we want

1 you to totally change.

So as part of that, I had to fire 30 people. And I had to pay difficulty with that. Those 30 people are people that I knew. They're not numbers. They were Mike and John and people that I work with. They did — Groeneveld said well, if you simply fire 30 people, then we will make \$1 million a year more, and that's what we want to. We want to get it out of cutting expenses instead of out of more growth.

So what it meant, for example, my bonus formula, my bonus formula was based on growth. So the formula was the actual growth of the company, divided by 15 percent, multiplied by 1.2, multiplied by the profit percentage of the bottom line. So you take a percentage of 15 divided by 15, that we want, 1.2 times say 8 percent bottom line, then I would get about 10 percent of the profit that was generated as a bonus for the company.

So when they asked me to grow the company by zero, the calculation would be 0 divided by 15 percent equals 0, times 1.2 equals zero, and profit might be 10 or 11, or 12 percent, times 12 is still zero. So bonus was eliminated. So we tried to talk about that, and I tried to work it out, and I tried to, you know, reason with Mr. Groeneveld and his CFO why I thought that the changes they wanted to make were not good.

11:52:24 15

11:52:42 20

11:53:00 25

11:54:34 25

11:54:14 20

11:53:49 15

11:53:18 5

11:53:35 10

So we have a good company. You know, we had -- before profit, we -- before tax profit on the books, \$4 and a half million, 33 million in sales and, you know, every month I had a business meeting, and I told people how well we were doing, like things were going good and people were excited to work for us a good time, and all of a sudden, I would have to fire 30 people and say 20 people it was and fire these people and, you know, I thought everybody -- I think the whole search of the company would change. It would change from people wanting to come to work for us, like people would bring the family members over and say can I have a job for us. And I believe at that point, things would change, people would say this is company stable here, and people started looking for jobs.

And in my opinion, as it happened as well, however, so this conflict went on and it was another meeting in the beginning of January, and Mr. Groeneveld actually stormed mad out of my office then in Canada. And then I started receiving e-mails that besides no more bonus, my base salary was going to be cut by more than 30. Yeah, it was going to be cut by \$80,000. So it was a huge cut, and my bonus was going to be a maximum of \$30,000, which was like less than a tenth of what I expected in the year before.

So for me, the message became clear these people don't want me around. And I consulted a lawyer. And I told them

- 1 what was happening and he says --
- 2 **Q.** Jan, don't disclose any communication between you and your lawyer.
 - A. Okay, sorry. Yeah.
- 11:54:47 5 Q. But, at this time, you and Groeneveld parted company?
 - 6 **A.** Yes.

4

7

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

11:55:49 25

11:55:31 20

11:55:15 15

11:54:58 10

- Q. Did you steal anything from Groeneveld when you left?
- 8 A. No, nothing.
 - Q. Did you have a noncompetition agreement with Groeneveld?
 - A. I did from selling the company, and those had expired since. And then there's also something that's called fiduciary obligations I found out. So you have as a president of the company, you have a fiduciary obligation to the company that you can't go into the competition with them. Legal advice on that. And that's how I actually

learned. I didn't know that before. That's what happened.

- So I did have those fiduciary obligations, yes.
 - **Q.** So what did you do after you and Groeneveld parted company?
 - A. Well, first I went to the gym. I had to lose some weight. And then I sit at home for about ten days with my lovely wife, Linda, and coffee 10:00 in the morning, and I thought I can't do this for the rest of my life, so got to figure out something else to do. So we -- I started looking

at other business opportunities and I ended up with starting a company called Orlaco Crane Cam, and that was a camera that installed cranes, installed cameras on the tips of cranes on construction cranes, mobile cranes. And we would look down on the web site -- on a work site so the operator of the crane could actually see what he was doing like, for example, if you have a crane sitting right here, this would be a large building. It would boom up, go over the building and say they have to place an air conditioner so the crane operator here would not know what's going on. They had a -they have a signal man on the side or radio contact. So the guy working blind so the train cameras, they would actually give you a bird's eye view and the operator could see what he was doing, and I thought that was an opportunity because it wasn't really promoted at all any more in North America. So I started doing that. So Orlaco Crane Cam was not originally, obviously was not in the business of selling automatic lubrication system? Not at all. Α. Did there come a time when you got reinterested in selling automatic lubrication systems? Yes, I did. Α.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

11:57:19 25

11:57:02 20

11:56:44 15

11:56:09 5

11:56:25 10

What happened with the crane camera business, what I did not expect, is that it was actually very expensive by business to be involved in because cranes are not as

plentiful as trucks so I have to travel to great distances to get my products promoted. So I took my mother home and would drive to Texas and would be on the road there, and I would go to Northern Alberta in Canada and try to find customers. So one of the first big deals we got with cranes, this company was buying new leak air cranes and these cranes were coming from Germany. So I had to spend a lot of time and effort to try to convince the system put it on for awhile. Then they're going to buy 40 new cranes, and the systems were selling for around between 10 and \$15,000. So that was a big deal. It was like a half-million-dollar deal we had in crane cameras, and I could make good money on that.

What happened was the manufacturer of the crane cameras, Orlaco from the Netherlands, Henry, he had already arrangement with Leap Air that these cameras could be installed at the factory. So I ended up pretty much losing my deal to the factory because the customer found out about it as well and now this cameras are being installed.

So started to realizing that I could give it all my effort, but I wasn't really going to go anywhere because the bigger deals would all be going to the OEM's and Henry couldn't help either because he had already had that deal. He couldn't say to the manufacturer that now he has to sell these camera, you have to buy it from them. Also the

11:58:21 20

11:58:37 25

714

Eissis - Direct

	E18812 - DITECT
1	manufacturer got the same pricing as I did. So there was no
2	space for me in that.
3	So I, you know, became a little bit discontent for the
4	crane cameras, and I thought like I can't make a living with
11:58:52 5	this. This is not going to happen. So then I ended up
6	going to a trade show in the Netherlands, and that was in
7	2007, end of the year, I went to the show where I met
8	Martin. Looking
9	Q. Excuse me, met Martin who?
11:59:13 10	A. Marvin Vermeulen.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Anastos, this would be a good
12	time to break for lunch?
13	MR. ANASTOS: This is fine, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Okay, folks. We break for lunch.
11:59:21 15	1:15 and we'll meet on L-1. Sound good, Mr. Yarger?
16	A JUROR: Yes, sir.
17	THE COURT: Keep in mind the admonition.
18	(Thereupon, a luncheon recess was had.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

715

Eissis - Direct

	Eissis - Direct
1	Wednesday Session, October 20, 2011, at 1:15 P.M.
2	THE COURT: You may continue.
3	MR. ANASTOS: Your Honor, may I approach for
4	two seconds for a side bar?
13:29:55 5	THE COURT: Um-hum.
6	(Discussion at side bar off the record.)
7	THE COURT: Mr. Eissis agreed he was going to
8	speak a little bit slower. Right?
9	THE WITNESS: Yes, yes, your Honor. I will
13:31:18 10	try.
11	BY MR. ANASTOS:
12	Q. Where we left off, I believe, Mr. Eissis, it was fall
13	of 2007 and you were at a trade show in where was that?
14	A. That was in Amsterdam.
13:31:28 15	Q. And what happened at that trade show?
16	A. I had a trade show. I visited there mainly to look
17	for opportunities for products that might be marketable in
18	North America. And that one is a trade show booth. I met
19	up a company that was into cabin pressurization systems. At
13:31:51 20	that booth, I met Martin Vermeulen.
21	Q. Had you known Martin Vermeulen beforehand?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. How long ago had you met him?
24	A. I mean it was 2007, it might have been over ten years
13:32:04 25	ago that I met him for the last time. I remember him from
13:32:04 25	ago that I met him for the last time. I remember him fro

- the Groeneveld days. I had regular contact with him, met him, worked with him. He came to Canada.
 - Q. Okay. Did you ask Mr. Vermeulen to do something?
 - A. Yeah, I actually, more or less, came out of conversation, what are you doing right now. And well, I'm kind of looking for different things and doing the crane cam is not working out. Told him that I wasn't really on the greasing system end, didn't really see any opportunities because all the all the companies that I would look at, you know, say a Lincoln or Vogel, again the company already had representation in place. So Martin said I can make a pump for you. I said you got to be kidding, and that's how it started.
 - **Q.** Did you provide Mr. Vermeulen with any plans or drawings?
 - A. No, I did not.

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

13:33:28 25

13:33:06 20

13:32:56 15

13:32:22 5

13:32:40 10

- **Q.** Did you provide Mr. Vermeulen with any technical specifications about what you wanted out of your pump?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. What sense?
- A. It will be easiest for me to explain if I take one of my pumps here, for example, or both pumps. Actually, if you take -- yeah, just the Lubecore pump, yeah and maybe the Groeneveld pump as well, and I can explain that.

MS. ZUJKOWSKI: Sure.

717 Eissis - Direct 1 Hold on for two seconds. Just so I'm correct, DX-A is Q. 2 the Groeneveld pump, and DX-B is the Lubecore pump? 3 Yeah. Α. 4 0. Okay. So when I talk to actually at that show, I didn't 13:33:57 5 6 really talk to him about any certification, I should say 7 that. MS. MICHELSON: I didn't understand the 8 9 testimony. 13:34:11 10 THE WITNESS: At the trade show, we did not 11 really talk about any specifications. 12 When did you meet him next? Q. 13 I went to Korea. Α. 14 Q. How long? He asked me to come to Korea and told me he could make 13:34:19 15 Α. 16 a pump for me and he invited me to come and see the factory 17 he was working with or worked for. And I took him up on 18 that and I visited to Korea. 19 How long were you there? Q. 13:34:35 20 A few days. It was one week. Α. 21 Within one week, did you say? Q. 22 Yeah. Α. 23 Q. Okay.

24 Now, back to I asked you about did you convey to 13:34:45 25 Mr. Vermeulen any technical specifications that you --

A. Yeah.

13:35:59 25

13:35:47 20

13:35:33 15

13:34:55 5

13:35:18 10

- **Q.** -- interested in?
 - A. Like what I learned from working with the this pump here that I was selling when I was with Groeneveld, even though it is a good pump, still lots of issues in it as well, and some of the issues that we had, for example, is that this this this cavity in the back of the pump here would fill up with dirt, and then it would get cold as well, and it would freeze and get cold and actually these pumps would break. Corrosion would start taking place from behind in the pump. The bolts would be seized into the pump housing, and then actually the pump was some things fall off the truck, and we had a lot of that on the south freight trucks for example. And I said if you're going to make a pump for me, I don't want it to fall off the truck.

Another issue we had is this follower plate. The follower plate is this black rubber follower plate. Quite often, the grease would leak and go to the top of the follower plate. So then the follower plate would slow — slowly go to the bottom and sitting right here and the grease would be all on top.

So then the pump would run empty underneath the follower plate. So I said to Martin if you make me a pump, I don't want that to happen because that's an issue that I used to know from the past.

Then inside this pump here, there's a hole in the side as you can see that. That's an overflow if you overfill it. If you look in the top of this quide rod, there's a little hole. This guide rod is hole, and then the -- if you overfill it, the guide rod passes the hole. The grease goes through and comes out that hole.

Now, the way these pumps are mounted, the grease would run out of that hole, and it would then dribble long these lines here and would hang on the solder rod. So you get regular service calls people say the solder rod is leaking grease, can you come and fix that, even though just an external leak. So I said in my pump, I would want a vent hole up there. I would want the vent hole in a different spot so that would not happen.

We had this little ID plate that you see here. Like if you talked about warranty before and Groeneveld said it didn't have much of a warranty. One of the big issues we had with this pump is ID plate in Canada, I would literally but off the truck, off the pump because it's aluminum within a year or two. So if people would come to us with warranty, we couldn't read the number anymore, couldn't see what year the pump was made because that information is all on this plate.

So I said I want one pump, stainless steel ID plate so that if there's a warranty issue, we can actually recognize

1

17

18 19

13:37:03 20

21

22

23

24

13:37:19 25

the pump, and I would want that changed.

The guide rod, that's a ground piece in the middle there. We used to have issues that because on the roads, corrosion for materials right now to keep the roads free of ice. We would get water on top of that follower plate and another time it had those chemicals in it. Then we would get corrosion on that guide rod and the follower plate would hang up. So I said if I want to have a pump made for myself, I wouldn't want to have that to happen. And same thing that follower plate on top there, looks like corroding as well the rod sitting on top. I said if I want to have my own pump, I don't want that to happen.

So I went over this pump and, you know, it's about, you know, like these are some of the things that I mentioned to Martin. That was the kind of instructions that I gave him. That's what I was looking for. So when you now look at these pumps, you can see that they have — it's a different guide rod. The corrosion issue, what I did there, what Martin did is we put little bushings so that housing is actually even more different than you think.

See how that hole is a lot bigger now? That one there. So we had to actually put little bushings in there, and this is a nylon bushing. So now the steel bolt is going through a nylon bushing and would not touch the aluminum. And that was an issue that we had. I showed that pump was

13:38:05 15

17

16

18

19

13:38:29 20

21

22

2324

13:38:49 25

- actually the rod was in there. So I said those are the kind of things I'm looking for in my own pump and that was the type of instruction that I give Martin.
 - **Q.** Okay.

4

7

8

9

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

13:39:24 15

- Did you tell Mr. Vermeulen that you wanted your pump to look like the Groeneveld pump?
 - A. I did not.
 - Q. Did you want your pump to look like the Groeneveld pump?
- 13:39:09 10 **A.** It didn't really matter. That was not the purpose of it.
 - 12 **Q.** Why didn't it matter to you?
 - A. I want a pump that pumps grease, that lasts on the truck. I don't believe that my customers care about what the pump looks like. We have many different kinds of pumps now. And as long as it pumped grease and the truck is getting greased and we sold the issues that the customer has, that's what it's about.
 - Q. What did Mr. Vermeulen ultimately deliver to you?
- 13:39:41 20 **A.** Excuse me?
 - Q. What did Mr. Vermeulen ultimately provide you with?
 - 22 **A.** This pump.
 - 23 Q. And that is marked DX-B, correct?
 - 24 A. DX-B, correct.
- 13:39:57 25 Q. What size is the reservoir on that pump?

Eissis - Direct 1 It's about six kilograms or six liters. Α. 2 Do you know why the reservoir is the size that it is? 0. 3 Yeah, it's -- it depends on the number of grease pumps Α. 4 you have on the truck and how often that truck normally comes in for service. Typically, what fleets like to do is 13:40:16 5 6 run the trucks from oil change to oil change because the oil 7 change is something that I have to do anyway. Greasing, quite often they have to go in between, so 8 9 if they have to bring it in anyway. For example, at 40,000 13:40:34 10 miles, they would like the grease reservoir to last 40,000 11 miles, so that it do not have to take the truck off the 12 road, just for the purpose of filling up that grease system. 13 So the size of those reservoirs is really determined by the 14 number of grease points and how long the trucks typically 13:40:49 15 stay out with the fleets. 16 So what's marked as DX-BB? Q. 17 Okay. Α. 18 Different version of your pump, can you identify that? Q. 19 This pump here is a smaller reservoir. It's Yeah. Α. 13:41:10 20 about a four-kilogram or say nine-pound reservoir. And this 21 could, for example, go on a municipal truck, municipality on 22 a snow plow, these trucks are back in the yard everyday, I

So, that's why this reservoir has a different size.

mean they're being parked overnight from where they go from,

typically in the garage being serviced.

23

24

13:41:28 25

- 1 Just applied in those kind of applications.
- 2 **Q.** It's easier for you to work with the smaller one up there than the bigger one?
 - A. Excuse me?

4

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

13:42:53 25

13:42:29 20

13:42:17 15

13:42:05 10

- 13:41:42 5 Q. Easier to work with the smaller one up there?
 - 6 A. Sometimes from a space perspective.
 - 7 **Q.** I mean --
 - A. Oh, yeah that's fine. That's fine, yeah.
 - Q. Now, can you please describe -- you've given some of this, but what I want to understand is the physical differences between your pump and the Groeneveld pump and the visual differences between your pump and the Groeneveld pump.
 - A. Can you please repeat that?
 - Q. Sure.

Can you describe the visual differences between your pump and the Groeneveld pump?

A. Yeah. Okay. First of all, when you look at the top of my pump there, right on the lid, on the lid here it says no step, and in the lid is also my Lubecore logo. And by looking at this pump, this pump here has a stainless steel follower plate inside so it's shiny, the guide rod is black, first chrome on the one from Groeneveld. The follower plate j here is made out of that, and this is a red silicon rubber that is a different rubber than what Groeneveld was using,

13:44:22 25

13:44:04 20

13:43:41 15

13:43:10 5

13:43:25 10

and we have not had one sink through the bottom of the reservoir yet. The reservoir glass is made out of a material that is called Microlon and like Martin explained to me, it comes from head lights of new vehicles, and this is a material that stays crystal clear, and it's also break proof. If you, for example, look at the Lincoln pump that sits on the table there, that reservoir is all yellow and you can't really see through the plastic anymore because it's getting older. So what happens then is that a customer cannot really see grease level. And we had similar issues with the one in the 1990s as well. So the Microlon really excited me. It would stay clear and we have not broken one yet. This is actually break proof. Stones can hit it and it will not go through.

Then there is the logo on the pump like we have on all our pumps come out with this logo, Lubecore name, and we don't call it a single-line system. I call it an automated lubrication system. Then this on the housing itself is a number of changes as well. Here is the red cap; again, associated with the color of Lubecore. Then the housing here has been changed as well. And we had another — actually, it might seem like little thing but a practical issue in the field with pumps I worked on that when I was with Groeneveld. Like inside with Groeneveld there, could I maybe see that pump, Melissa, or somebody?

1 MS. ZUJKOWSKI: Which one? 2 THE WITNESS: The one on the ground there. 3 Thank you. 4 When you look at this -- this fitting here, this is -this hole goes straight in the bottom of that pump. If you 13:44:43 5 have to take this fitting out, like what's inside of here is 6 7 a little filter, and the filter prevents -- you're filling the grease system with hand pump or anything. There's a 8 9 filter in there supposed to keep the grease clean before it 13:44:59 10 goes inside the pump. This fitting here, if you look at the 11 size of that -- that little glass piece there, that's 12 actually a 5/16 wrench size. And this fitting here has a 13 about a three quarter of an inch, three quarters of an inch 14 thread inside the housing. So this fitting would corrode so 13:45:20 15 tight in there, you couldn't get it out. So I would have my 16 little 5/16 wrench in the field. The filter is clogged, 17 can't fill the pump anymore, and I'm literally fighting with 18 these things to try to get them out. That was the -- it's 19 just a nasty thing to have to do. 13:45:34 20 So this was one of the things I asked Martin as well. 21 I don't want that in the pump that I would have. So what we 22 did then is changed the housing so that the function that 23 really of this change in the housing here is that now the 24 fitting goes in straight, and I can put like a 9/16 socket

on there with a ratchet wrench, crank out to get at filter.

13:45:49 25

So then the rest of the -- the bushings and the housing that can be popped out, as I just demonstrated before. Also if you look at the housing here, those housings are different down there as well. I guess on here little bubbles out of it, little holes go out of it. And the one that we have here is flat. If I look at that side of the housing here, that's shaped differently as well. Then also in the bottom of the housing, it clearly has my Lubecore logo here so that people can see it's a Lubecore housing and not a somebody else's housing.

So then the overflow hole is now mounted on this side

So then the overflow hole is now mounted on this side here. And also what I asked him to do is put a thread in there. So here's the overflow on this one, and on this particular pump, it's on the opposite side.

So the issue that we had is the grease was running over the hoses so what we did is put it a bit lower and put a thread in there. So now I can put a fitting in and run my hose to a spot where it is no dirt running over the pump and so on. And these are all practical things that I learned from being in the business that make a difference to the customers. And these are the kind of things we promote when we're out, like being well thought of. I think I caught most of them.

Q. Let me ask you this. Can you, aside from -- besides from the visual differences, are there performance

1 2

3

13:46:12 5

6

7

9

13:46:28 10

11

12 13

14

13:46:48 15

16

17

18

19

13:47:05 20

21

22

23

24

13:47:20 25

1 differences between your pump and the Groeneveld pump? 2 Well, I hope so. We only in the business really for, 3 you know, three years right now. Right? So I think that 4 the changes that we have made, it's going to have to show up over time how it all works out, but I believe that, I fully 13:47:37 5 6 expect that stainless steel plate is not going to corrode 7 the rod, ain't going to rust. We already have lots of evidence that the pump is not filling up in the back. And 8 actually I should -- there's a gasket in one of these pumps. 13:47:54 10 Can I grab it for a minute? Can I go there? 11 THE COURT: Sure. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. So another thing that we 13 ran into a lot is that the back of this pump fall off dirt. 14 0. Mr. Eissis, what exhibit can you say this pump? 13:48:57 15 This Exhibit is DX-Y, but it looks a lot the same as 16 to one of the other ones here. The back of it looks the 17 same of these two pumps. MS. MICHELSON: Can you identify the other one 18 19 for the record? 13:49:14 20 THE WITNESS: That would be DX-A. 21 So this kind of material behind here would cause a 22 problem. Corrosion would set in behind that pump. So 23 what -- what I did is I created or had Martin create a foam 24 casket that fits into this in the back. So now we have the 13:49:35 25 nylon bushings, and on top I would have a nylon washer

1 before the bolt goes through. So now a totally insulated 2 mounting system. So then I bolt aluminum to steel, no dirt gets behind. I take these pumps off after three years, 3 4 clean like new in the back. So that's another important 13:49:56 5 improvement that we made. 6 Did there come a point in time where you changed the 7 name of Orlaco Crane to something else? 8 Α. Yes, I did. Q. And when was that, do you recall? 13:50:08 10 Α. Well, I don't know the date. 11 What did you change the name to? 0. 12 We changed it to Lubecore International. Α. 13 And is that about the time that you got back into the Ο. 14 ALS business? Yeah. Actually a bit later. First we did, we came in 13:50:22 15 Α. 16 the trade show in Toronto in 2008, we introduced the pump. 17 And first I -- we had Orlaco Crane Cam. Business card would 18 say Lubecore, division of Orlaco Crane Cam. And a few 19 months later, I think July in the summer, later in the 13:50:45 20 summer, then I made a deal that Mr. Vermeulen come, that he 21 actually move into Canada with his own company, and he took 22 over the Orlaco Crane Camera business, took over my 23 inventory. I made a deal with him, both of us are 24 satisfactory. And then I changed the name because I was no 13:51:04 25 longer into the Crane Cam. I changed the name to Lubecore,

- 1 Lubecore International, I believe it is.
- 2 Q. When was the Canadian truck show, you remember?
- 3 **A.** April 2008.
- 4 Q. By the time you got back into the automatic
- lubrication system, had your -- had the fiduciary duties you
 - 6 described with respect to competing with Groeneveld expired?
 - 7 **A.** Yes.
 - 8 Q. Who owns Lubecore?
- A. Basically me and my family, me and my wife and actually -- well, another -- I think it's a holding company altogether.
 - 12 Q. So essential through a holding company or directly,
 - it's a f-a-m-i-l-y run business?
 - 14 A. It is, yeah.
- 13:51:55 15 Q. How many employees does Lubecore currently have?
 - 16 A. Current ly, we have about 12 on hiring, so.
 - 17 Q. When did you make your first sales of Lubecore pumps
 - in the United States?

19

13:52:22 20

- A. That was in 2009. It was with Tim Anthony, I think All Points Lubrication.
- 21 **Q.** You remember approximately when that was?
- 22 **A.** I think it was earlier in 2009. I don't know the date.
- Q. The -- there's been a lot of talk about recalls and pictures of pumps leaking, et cetera?

- 1 A. Yeah.
- 2 Q. Did the first pumps you sold in Canada and the U.S.
- 3 perform flawlessly?
 - A. No, they did not.
- 13:52:49 5 **Q.** Why not?

4

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

13:54:29 25

13:54:09 20

13:53:50 15

13:53:26 10

- A. Well, even though we thought -- I thought that it was all so much better, we also mistakes made in the pump.
- **Q.** What kind of mistakes?
- A. One thing I can explain to you.

Actually, inside this pump is a — is a grease chamber that's — this chamber here. And here's the grease piston. So when the air goes underneath this piston, it fits into this chamber here, and the air pressure forces that up, and the grease pumps out of there. And then it goes down, it sucks the grease back in. There's one-check valve on top and up and down she goes. This only happens every hour and a half.

On the pump that we designed, Martin pretty much decided to go with a quad ring. One of the things I told him is that an O-ring like this, with the back of ring, if you push these into the pump, sometimes that would happen, this little white ring would go over, would come out of the groove and like I say like that, and it would create a leak and it's -- actually very common, but happened often enough that it was an annoyance. So then Martin go to Japanese

- company and says this has a quad rod ring. You can see it's qot a little dimple in it.
 - Q. Could you explain what a quad ring is?
 - A. Yeah, actually I could draw it up there if you want.
 - Q. Sure.

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

13:56:35 25

13:56:13 20

13:55:51 15

13:54:45 5

13:55:31 10

Okay. I got a pen here. The top of the piston essentially looks like this, and here's the air piston that goes in the pump. If when you have an O-ring, the O-ring sits right in here, and it has that -- the back of the ring underneath there. The quad ring, the way it works, it looks more like this, like that. That's why we call it a quad ring. So it's in the round and you can use it on the back of the ring. They use a lot in double working cylinders and you know, I was -- I was recommended that would be a good idea. What ended up happening between these one and the mistake was made, and we had to go through side like this, and it became an issue. So when you look at what actually needed to be done is we had to -- we had to replace this -we had to replace this O-ring, put an O-ring inside that, instead of that quad ring. So what it means you have to do you have to take the four bolts out of the bottom of this pump, and then this piston pops out, this little screw in the bottom there, put another head on it, and get it back out. So a lot of our customers did that themselves.

said no problem. Yeah, we can do that. They were not all

1 leaking, but percentage was too high to let it go. 2 Martin recommended a recall, and that's essentially what we 3 had happening. So if somebody didn't want to go through the 4 trouble of taking the pump apart, you would ask them to send 13:56:50 5 you another pump, swab it out and send the old one back. 6 Clean it up, put an O-ring in and made it work pretty much. 7 I would say hopefully right now we caught them all. difficulty is that trucks out on the road, you want to fix 8 9 that, and the first ones you do it quick the last ones are 13:57:10 10 kind of hard to get. That's what happened. So it might 11 still be some out there that have that in it. Also metal 12 leaks did fine, so. 13 I've handed you what's been marked as EE, Defense EE. 14 Could you identify that, please? 13:57:39 15 That's an O-ring that's in there right now Α. 16 instead of a quad ring. 17 O-ring given to people to replace or replaced by 18 Lubecore or one of its distributors? 19 This is actually the new O-ring we put on there now. Α. 13:57:52 20 The bad one is actually on this piston here, you want to --21 That's okay. Q. 22 Α. Okay. 23 Were there any other leakage issues? Q. 24 Yeah, we had solder rod. We had a problem with, it Α. 13:58:05 25 was a solder rod we bought from MAC in the United States,

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

13:59:37 25

13:59:21 20

13:59:02 15

13:58:26 5

13:58:44 10

and the solder rod works flawlessly. We were sold on the fact it was a pilot style solder rod instead of a spool valve and it sounded like a real good explanation. So we went for that solder rod and to give you an idea, the solder rod is actually mounted underneath the pump, so it's not part of the pump. It is actually an item that we buy at the market, and we mount that right in the bottom of the pump, so that the air from the system to go through.

That solder rod had corrosion issues, and essentially started to falling apart on us after about a year of use. And all of a sudden, we had a batch almost failed immediately. So we ended up going to a German solder rod, and that's working a lot better. We have not -- we have not seen the issues with that solder rod right now. Also had some issues with the reservoir glass, on the bottom leaking. So the grease coming over the edge, and that was kind of an interesting one because we -- I first thought it had to do with tension, the length of the guide rod and the follower plate but found out what was happening is when the pumps were being put together by the people that work at Tae Sung, when they put the reservoir glass on, sometimes the large holding in the bottom would be lying on the edge, and they would notice that when they put the glass on, it was caught in between there. But, what they would do is loosen it up, put O-ring in the groove and put it back on.

1 And what you actually see then is if you take it 2 apart, again if you carefully look at the O-ring, they're 3 kind of knit and kind of act like indentation inside them. 4 And I think that was actually the main -- the main reason for it instead of the tension of the reservoir. Earlier on, 13:59:51 5 6 I testified it was the tension, that's what we thought. 7 Really that was actually what seemed to have -- an assembly issue seem to have most of the problem. 8 So as far as you know, have all the performance issues 14:00:07 10 with the pump been addressed? 11 Pardon? Α. 12 As far as you know, have all of the performance issues 13 of the pump been addressed? 14 Α. As far as I know, they are, and if there's another 14:00:15 15 one, we'll address it tomorrow. 16 Now, let's talk a little bit about pumps. Change 17 gears here a little bit, so to speak. You want us to keep 18 those up there? 19 It doesn't matter. I can put them -- you want me to Α. 14:00:40 20 put them on the ground here? 21 Q. Sure. 22 Α. Okay. 23 There's been testimony in this case of different kinds Q. 24 of pumps? 14:00:54 25 Α. Yeah.

- 1 **Q.** Correct?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- Now, can we switch to the -- showing you what's been marked as DX-CC, you see that?
- 14:01:19 5 **A.** Yes.

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

14:02:21 25

14:02:09 20

14:01:50 15

14:01:32 10

- Q. Now, the pictures on this page are of what kind of pump?
 - A. What I see here is a Groeneveld pump, Lubecore pump, and a Ecostar pump, and you could add to that family also Bijur pump if you wanted.
 - Q. What did you mean by family?
 - A. Well, any one of those pumps is essentially an air operated single stroke piston pump. Like this like all these pumps have this piston inside of them. That style, it goes up once. There's a spring above it. The air falls away. It comes down. And that is the pump action. So all these pumps you'll see a round cylinder underneath a reservoir and the piston is pushed up in air and pushed down by a spring that's the same style you see in the Eco-Star Bijur, Lubecore, and the Groeneveld.
 - Q. Are you familiar with Grease Jockey?
- 22 A. Yes, yeah.
 - Q. Does the Grease Jockey pump have a piston?
 - A. No, actually the Grease Jockey pump has instead of a piston, it has a diaphragm in the bottom. You look at the

1 black pump. You've seen it often enough, but it has a 2 diaphragm in the bottom, and it works more or less like a 3 brake cylinder of a truck. There's that one side and the 4 diaphragm moves up. Instead of a piston, it's a diaphragm. 14:02:36 5 So you see in the Grease Jockey housing that the 6 aluminum is kind of shaped around that diaphragm, where in 7 these pumps, the aluminum is shaped around this piston. So the operating principal pretty much decides what the pump is 8 9 going to look like after you're done with it. On the Grease 14:02:53 10 Jockey, you can clearly see if you want to, I could explain 11 that in a little sketch if you want. See on the bottom how 12 the housing there is flat and what it does? 13 Do you want meet to put it up there? Q. 14 Α. That's fine, yeah. This is -- what's the Exhibit Number on that? 14:03:16 15 Q. 16 This is the DX-132. Α. 17 I don't think it stands up. Q. 18 Okay. That's fine. Α. 19 So you can see this is -- doesn't have a piston that Q. 14:03:30 20 goes inside there. 21 So this one has, it has on the bottom. So you have 22 the shape like this? 23 MS. MICHELSON: I'm going to renew my 24 objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

14:03:45 25

1 THE WITNESS: What's inside here is a grease 2 piston, and there's a diaphragm that lies on the bottom, and 3 there's a -- it's the connection little steel plate here, 4 little steel plate there, hold it together, hold it in between there. So now we put air in here, this diaphragm 14:04:01 5 6 forms and stands like that, that's what creates a stroke. 7 So the housing of the pump essentially is shaped around this diaphragm stroke it has to make, so that the functionality 8 9 of how the pump works, determines really what the pump looks 14:04:21 10 like, and you have piston stroke here, piston pump, we have 11 a piston like this one here, and a smaller piston, with so 12 the aluminum housing here is shaped really around the 13 piston. But, what the pump looks like is determined by the 14 round piston inside and the room around that. And that's 14:04:46 15 what -- that's why that family of pumps all look very 16 similar, the single stroke pumps look very similar, except 17 for the color. 18 Let me put up another picture. The second page of Q. 19 DX-CC? 14:05:07 20 Yeah. Α. 21 Do you recognize these pumps? Q. 22 Yes, I do. Α. 23 What family do they belong to? Q. 24 They belong to the family of electric piston pumps, Α. 14:05:18 25 and the principle of that pump is it has pretty much like a

windshield wiper motor in the bottom that lies there and windshield wiper motor is really a cam and that cam has pistons coming out of the side. If you see on the left side of the bottom one there, does it do magic? There — yeah. There's a pump element there. And the pump element hits a cam, and as this cam turns from the windshield wiper motor, goes in and out, in and out, and that's the piston, electric piston pump, but little pistons inside that you can lay against it from the side. So all these pumps look the same really if you look at the housing, they all have holes on the side. If you can give me the Lincoln pump there, Tom, I can demonstrate that if you want. On the table there. Yes.

Q. What's the Exhibit Number?

14:07:06 25

14:06:48 20

14:06:28 15

14:05:57 10

14:05:38

A. Exhibit PX-131. So you see in the bottom, the windshield wiper motor, yeah.

And you have on the side a pump element, and these pump elements won't come out, but you can put it in three different spots. So each one of the pumps, where you have the Bijur or a Ciaponi or Lincoln or Vogel have those three holes, put the pump element, and this might be a little bit different. But, essentially it's the same principle, same family of pumps. So when I'm comparing pumps, I compare piston pumps with piston pumps hand operated, and this would be to me electrically operated pump, and believe me, they all look the same inside.

- 1 Q. Let's look at one more, Page 3 of DX-CC.
- 2 A. Yeah.

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

14:08:37 25

14:08:23 20

14:08:02 15

14:07:27 5

14:07:47 10

- Q. What family do those pumps belong to?
- A. That's the family of electric gear pumps. So the pump that I've shown earlier was the by the other people there where they compare to the Lubecore pump, was a Vogel pump the one you see the top left there, Vogel, SKF Vogel.

 Besides that, I think that's a Fire Fall and the one below might be a Bijur or something. I don't know exactly. But, again, what you see there, it's another operating principle. You see a black cover on the top. Quite often it has the timer in it or something. Then you have the reservoir glass on the bottom instead of on the top.

So now, there's a little pump, a gear pump that hangs in the bottom of the reservoir glass. The motor is running and it sucks to grease out from the bottom, usually thin grease quite often for oil or light greases. So Vogel sells those on trucks. I brought another one actually, on the table there beside the table. And that's one that — the black one, Tom, yeah. Watch, make sure the lid doesn't come off.

- Q. Full of grease?
- A. Full of grease, yeah. See that's another electric gear pump, and I don't even know what make this, to be honest with you. Literally tens of them, different kinds,

no name on it. If you take the lid off, can't find a name either, but this is different than a Vogel, but exactly the pattern is the same as a Vogel. So somebody made this pump. So you can stick it in the place of a Vogel.

But, this pump works totally different than an

But, this pump works totally different than an air-operated piston pump. It's not the same family. It's like comparing apple to a crocodile.

- Q. Okay. Mr. Eissis. Let's talk about how you differentiate your Lubecore brand from the rest of the marketplace?
- A. Try to do that by everything that we send out have a Lubecore logo on there, use of the red colors, whether it is a, you know, spider pump that we have or electric piston pump as well, like everything that we do, we try as much as possible get our red colors incorporated, the Lubecore trade dress logo that we have, and go out there and the preach the Lubecore belief.
- Q. Showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 66?
- A. Yep.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

14:10:36 25

14:10:20 20

14:09:57 15

14:08:53 5

14:09:40 10

- Q. What elements of the Lubecore brand are you trying to display here?
- A. Well, we try to display here the red color, the next -- slogan, next generation automated lubrication, try to display on the top there our logo. On the pumps there we

- try to, you know, make a connection between the Lubecore

 pump and the logo in the red. I think on the bottom it says

 something else there, Tom. No, I guess not.
 - **Q.** What Lubecore identifiers appear on the back page of this sales literature?
 - A. Well, I would say the Lubecore name comes up, Lubecore in the environment safety, the Lubecore automatic lubrication system, Lubecore turn piece solutions, contact us today, Lubecore, Ontario, I think it's all about
- 11 Q. Do you want your product to be associated in any way
 - 12 with Groeneveld?

Lubecore.

4

6

7

8

17

18

24

14:11:04 5

14:11:19 10

- 13 **A.** Absolutely not.
- 14 **Q.** Why not?
- 14:11:24 15 A. Because I want people to come to me instead of Groeneveld.
 - **Q.** You want your product to be associated in any way with that of any of your competitors?
 - 19 **A.** No.
- 14:11:36 20 **Q.** Why not?
 - 21 A. I certainly haven't -- go to the sales call certainly
 22 don't talk about any competitor unless we have to, unless we
 23 have to compare.
 - **Q.** This is Plaintiff's Exhibit 62?
- 14:12:04 25 A. Can you return turn that, Tom.

1 Sure. Can you tell us what this is? Q. 2 That's a logo, says Lubecore next generation automated 3 lubrication for further information, please call Jan 4 Jonathan or Steve and our address. Probably a sign that's used in a trade show booth. How big is that thing in real 14:12:23 5 6 life? Pardon? 7 To me only eight and a half by eleven? 0. Yeah, probably a photo or something bigger, design of 8 Α. 9 a trade show booth sign, yeah. 14:12:51 10 Showing you what's been marked as DX-K. 0. 11 Okay. Α. 12 What is this? Q. 13 Well, that's a general manual, general manual of 14 Lubecore system. And are the Lubecore markings on your general manual? 14:13:06 15 Q. 16 Α. Yes, on the top page, you see maple leaf logo with a 17 drip is on the pump there, Lubecore is prominently displayed 18 there. 19 Why did you go with red? Q. 14:13:20 20 I like red. Α. 21 And your logo, is that registered? Q. 22 Yes, that's -- the registered trademark. Α. I'm showing you -- why don't we clear that green 23 Q. 24 again. Anybody remember?

Showing you what's been marked as Defendant's Exhibit

14:14:15 25

- 1 L, do you recognize this document?
- 2 A. Yeah, I do.
- 3 **o.** What is it?

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

14:15:31 25

14:15:16 20

14:14:55 15

14:14:26 5

14:14:41 10

- A. It's what I call a fax benefit analysis, a sales tool that we made and provide to people that need it.
 - **Q.** And who is -- what comparison is being made in this particular fact benefit analysis?
 - A. In this case, we are comparing Lubecore with Groeneveld. So what we do is say what the component is, Groeneveld facts, the Lubecore facts, and then the benefit for our customer of the Lubecore fact, what a benefit means to the customer.
 - Q. Why are you doing this?
 - A. To show -- it's a sales tool to help people to understand, for example, follower plate color, black or bright red. So the deadline created by the seal clearly indicates the grease level from a distance. Fewer reservoir, low maintenance and operating costs. You can see the red better than black we say.
 - **Q.** Okay?
 - A. See the follower plate seal. Rubber silicon, silicon for Lubecore, rubber for Groeneveld. Silicon maintains pliable and extreme weather conditions, few leaks and low maintenance and operating costs. Basically not negative about anybody else, but what's good about what we -- what we

- 1 have in our pump.
- 2 Q. Do you do these kind of fact benefit analysis only
- 3 with Groeneveld?
- 4 **A.** No.
- 14:15:52 5 Q. Showing you what's been marked Defendant's Exhibit DD.
 - 6 A. Okay. That's one between Lubecore and Ecostar, same
 - 7 deal.
 - 8 Q. Lubecore facts and the Ecostar facts?
 - 9 **A**. Yeah.
- 14:16:05 10 **Q.** Paging into the third page?
 - 11 A. Lubecore Groeneveld, that's a Groeneveld Lubecore
 - 12 again.
 - 13 **Q.** All right.
- 14 A. Another page of it, I think. I think we got 22 differences on that page.
 - 16 **Q.** Who's this one?
 - 17 A. That's one -- that's one between Bijur and Lubecore.
 - Another page of the same document, Bijur and Lubecore. And
 - 19 that's one between Grease Jockey and Lubecore. Actually if
- 14:16:49 20 you put that back up, Tom.
 - 21 **Q.** Sure.
 - 22 **a.** See I just -- I just took a -- Grease Jockey. Yeah.
 - I see the first thing is that actually between Groeneveld
 - and Grease Jockey and Lubecore, all three. So we say we
- have a clear reservoir would apply the silicone. Sc

- comparing two others to us. This could be for customer that was looking at both Grease Jockey and Groeneveld. And we tried to bring our product in the picture.
 - Q. There's been some testimony in talking in this case about interchangeability. I'd like to discuss that with you.
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. Is your pump interchangeable with the Groeneveld pump?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

14:18:38 25

14:18:20 20

14:18:02 15

14:17:33 5

14:17:45 10

- Q. How so?
- Well, if you -- actually interchangeable with Α. Groeneveld, Ecostar, Bijur, and Grease Jockey. For example, if you would take that Grease Jockey pump that's sliding on the front of the table there because we now know how all these pumps work. Essentially what this pump needs, it needs air in the bottom. There's a grease line coming out of it here, and you need to mount it to the frame. So it's three things that we need to do. An electrical wire. So all the pumps, whether a Grease Jockey, a Bijur, Groeneveld or ours, you have solder rod to be positive and negative, plus minus to make it work to open up for air, the grease line that comes out the side, an airline that goes into the bottom, and you have to bolt it to the frame. So if I take that location there that the Grease Jockey pump is mounted in, or the Groeneveld or other, and I take the bolts out,

1 the bolt hole in the frame will fit this. And Grease Jockey 2 can do that to me, I can do it to Groeneveld, I can do it to 3 Ecostar, we can do it to each other. So if I have to fix 4 somebody's lubrication and there's a defect with a pump, I 14:18:56 5 can stick the old pump in there, hook up the air supply, 6 hook up their main line, hook up the electrical wires, and 7 it works and will lubricate the Grease Jockey system, the Bijur system, the Ecostar system. 8 Are the internal organ parts of the pump -- of the 9 14:19:14 10 pump mechanism in your pump interchangeable with a 11 Groeneveld pump mechanism? 12 No, it's a little more tricky. I think you guys heard Α. 13 of -- Martin got into that as well, but I brought an example 14 here. If you look at these pistons here, and this piston 14:19:25 15 16 here, this is actually a piston out of the Groeneveld pump. 17 You see how the O-ring on this piston is different, and you 18 see this pump has a wide O-ring so I cannot put that O-ring 19 on a Groeneveld piston. I also cannot take this Groeneveld 14:19:52 20 piston and stick it in my Lubecore cylinder. It does not 21 work. 22 What I could do if I have to replace a seal, I could 23 make a little kit. I say okay, I'm selling you a piston and 24 I am sealing you the chamber. I put this together as a kit,

and that again will screw in the Groeneveld pump, and this

14:20:08 25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

14:21:36 25

14:21:21 20

14:21:01 15

14:20:24 5

14:20:43 10

will fit in the Groeneveld housing. So instead of selling you an O-ring, I would have to sell you this assembly to make it work. So everything is different, but still if you group a little group of parts, you can make it work. How often does that stuff happen? Very seldom. Most of the compatibility in a product lies in things like lines, fittings, solder rod underneath the pump, like the consumable items on the grease system are not things inside that pump. They lie in grease last for a long time. The consumable items are broken line, brass fittings, tubing, harness mounted to, timer that function with water in it. That's the stuff you replace in the field. So those kind of consumable items, are those

- interchangeable between the Groeneveld and the Ecostar and your pump?
- Yeah, actually, got a little handy there in Italy and that's -- that's on the secondary lining. It's kind of interesting like the secondary lining that we use is five millimeters and competitors use 3/16th's. So 3/16th's is actually 4.76 millimeters, slightly smaller than five millimeter. So if I take brass fittings, and I have a 3/16th line, it will fit in the five mill fitting and if I crank the nut a couple more times, I got a perfect seal. issue.

The other doesn't work. If you have a Groeneveld

- 1 fitting and you want to stick a five line in, it won't fit.
- 2 So that only works one way, that way. It helps our part
- 3 seal as well actually.
 - Q. Let's switch gears again and talk about distributors
- 14:21:54 5 for a second.

4

- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 Q. You -- Lubecore sells its products in the United 8 States through are distributors, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- 14:22:05 10 Q. And along the way, Lubecore provides some sales support for its distributors, correct?
 - 12 **A.** Yes, we do.
 - 13 Q. But, you don't control their sales activities, do you?
 - 14 **A.** No.
- 14:22:25 15 Q. Sales process. Have you participated in sales calls?
 - 16 **A.** Yeah.

19

21

22

23

24

14:23:02 25

14:22:42 20

- Q. Can you explain to the jury what it takes to sell an automated lubrication system?
 - A. Yes, one moment. Excuse me.

The sales process, actually I love sales, and the way I look at sales is that people really buy something for only like three reasons really. To me the first reason is to solve a problem. The second reason would be to save money, and the third reason could be to make an improvement. So when we go into a sales call with my distributors, I always

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

14:24:24 25

14:24:09 20

14:23:53 15

14:23:22 5

14:23:38 10

urge them to ask questions of the prospective customer that we have, and we try to find out, you know, what is this company doing, you know, how are they doing the maintenance and what -- you know, which benefits that we have at our system could apply here.

For example, first we have to find out what -- what our competition is. Like our competition could be manual lubrication, like people greasing trucks by hand. Competition could be another product. So we're asking a number of questions. We find out like which way we have to take it. In other words, in order to make it work for the customer and where we could potentially have benefits for customer, and when we are -- we have found out that, you know, really, you know, this customer has to bring a structure in. We might focus on those advantages. And if he has to compare to manual lubrication, we have to pull -we normally have to talk about a product. We sell the concepts. And we explain that if you have to grease by hand, you have to -- first of all, you have to do it on a regular basis, like on the dump truck like we want to do it weekly to keep everything in good shape. So then the -then the savings come more from the labor end. Other people might have stuff there out because they go away for a long time. So then we go more to the component replacement of that. So the sales pitch is different every time.

- Eissis Direct 1 really depends on what -- for what reason the customer, you 2 know, is looking at us and what we discover by our 3 interview. 4 How many -- how many sales meetings does it usually take before a customer makes a decision? 14:24:38 5 6 It's -- quite often, you have to go there, you know, 7 at least a couple times. Then they ask for a quotation, and you're waiting for a new truck to be delivered. And we 8 9 never -- we never recommend putting it on an old truck 14:24:53 10 because, you know, you might already have air on the trucks. 11 You're not really proving anything so much. So typically, 12 it takes really, normally months. 13 How does Lubecore advertise its products in the United 14 States? 14:25:18 15 It has mainly been trade shows and door-to-door sales, knocking on doors, making appointments, getting customers 16 17 through the distributors. 18 Mr. Eissis, I'm showing you what's been marked as Ο. 19 Defendant's Exhibit X. 14:25:54 20 Yeah. Α. I think we saw some of this yesterday. What is this? 21 Q. 22 This is a document that we were being asked to prepare Α. 23 for your firm, for this case, I believe. We had to disclose
- 14:26:21 25 Q. Through September 2011, right?

our 2009, 2010 revenue, I believe, 9 to 11, yeah.

24

- 751 Eissis - Direct 1 Yes, that's right. So Lubecore, International Α. financial shows achieved in the United States. 2 3 Okay. Q. 4 For the period of 2009 to 2011. 14:26:36 5 Can you tell from this document how many -- now let me Q. 6 ask you this. You did not prepare this yourself, did you? 7 No. Α. Did you ask someone to prepare it? 8 Q. 9 Α. Yeah. 14:26:45 10 Who prepared it? Q. 11 Petro Falfa made that, Petro and Caleb together. Α. 12 Petro Falfa? Q. 13 And Caleb Smith. Α. 14 Q. And what is Petro's position? Petro is an accountant. Α.
- 14:26:56 15
 - 16 And the other gentleman? Q.
 - Caleb is an inside sales. 17 Α.
 - Was this document derived from the financial records 18 Ο. 19 of Lubecore?
- 14:27:07 20 Yeah, it would come out --
 - 21 MS. MICHELSON: I object.
 - 22 THE COURT: Overruled.
 - 23 THE WITNESS: That would come out of our
 - 24 ACCPAC system, I believe. And -- yeah, pretty much ACCPAC,
- 14:27:15 25 I would say.

752

Eissis - Direct

- 1 **Q.** What's the name of that?
- 2 A. ACCPAC, I believe that's what I used.
- 3 Q. Spell that, please.
- 4 A. I think A-C-C-P-A-C.
- 14:27:24 5 **Q.** Okay.
 - 6 Can you tell from this document how many pumps
 - 7 Lubecore sold in the United States in 2009?
 - 8 MS. MICHELSON: Objection.
 - 9 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 14:27:36 10 THE WITNESS: In this document, it says there
 - 11 | was 131 pumps sold in 2009.
 - 12 Q. Do you have any reason to dispute the accuracy of that
 - 13 number?
 - 14 A. Not really. I really guess 200, I guess, optimistic.
- 14:27:53 15 Q. How about how many pumps sold in the United States in
 - 16 2010?
 - 17 **A.** Says 164.
 - 18 Q. You have any reason to dispute that number?
 - 19 **A.** No.
- 14:28:01 20 Q. And the number of pumps sold through September 31,
 - 21 | 2011?
 - 22 **A.** 158.
 - 23 Q. Okay. Looking at the revenue figures now, on the far
 - left column, those are not just pump sales revenues, are
- 14:28:18 25 they?

- 1 A. No, that's the whole system with it.
- 2 Q. And what was the revenue for the system sales in the
- 3 United States, the EP-0 system sales in the United States
- 4 for 2009?
- 14:28:29 5 **A**. 315, 232.
 - 6 Q. After subtracting costs from that, what was the profit
 - 7 or loss on those figures?
 - 8 MS. MICHELSON: Your Honor, I'm just going to
 - 9 have a continuing objection.
- 14:28:46 10 THE COURT: Overruled.
 - 11 THE WITNESS: The profit and loss that Petro
 - has related to the U.S. sales is a loss of \$51,000 in 2009.
 - 2010, what was the gross revenue on sales?
 - 14 **A**. 474.
- 14:29:07 15 **Q.** 474?
 - 16 **A.** \$474,985, sorry.
 - 17 **Q.** And the calculated profit or loss on those sales?
 - 18 **A.** A loss of \$207,448.
 - 19 Q. And for 2011 through September 31st?
- 14:29:29 20 **A.** \$757,870. Start to make a little more money, \$27,063.
 - 21 Q. Current through September 31, 2011?
 - 22 A. That's what it says, yes.
 - 23 Q. So couldn't it really have existed before September
 - 24 | 31, 2011?
- 14:29:49 25 **A.** No.

1 Showing you the -- this second, third, and fourth 0. 2 pages of Defendant's Exhibit X, this is Page X-2. What is 3 this? 4 It says the Lubecore International financial shows in Α. the United States. 14:30:12 5 6 For what year? Q. 7 Can you move it over a little bit? Α. 8 Q. Sure. Α. Says for 2009. 14:30:19 10 So this is the backup information for the summary 0. 11 information? 12 MS. MICHELSON: Objection. 13 THE COURT: Overruled. 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. Looks like the same for 14:30:36 15 2010 and probably same 2011 year to date, all the way up to 16 September 31st. Part of the relief being sought here in this case 17 18 today is to stop Lubecore from selling any more EP-0 pumps 19 in the United States, correct? Yeah, I believe so, yeah. 14:31:22 20 21 How would Lubecore be hurt by not being allowed to Q. 22 sell the EP-0 pump in the United States? 23 It would hurt us a lot, like we have plans to move Α. 24 into the United States and to build a company. It's a big

opportunity. The market is ten times as large as Canada.

14:31:37 25

1 We have distributors that are really very interested and 2 waiting for this case to be all over, and I really would like to move into the United States, step on the gas pedal 3 4 and go for it. Why haven't you stepped on the gas pedal and gone for 14:31:53 5 6 it so far? 7 It's difficult. Like I mean there's this -- this is Α. quite a big deal for me. Like -- it's been quite a 8 9 bothersome and expensive affair for me to defend myself 14:32:11 10 against Groeneveld's allegations that started last year. 11 have somebody looks us up on the Internet, basically pretty 12 much everybody does if you punch in Lubecore, you know, 13 immediately the third or the fourth comes down, there's 14 litigation going on between Lubecore and Groeneveld, and 14:32:27 15 people are concerned about that if they start product lines 16 or come on board with me how long will it last. 17 It's really put a damper on the -- damper on my 18 ability to keep going in the United States or to get going 19 really. Like we do a lot better in Canada right now than 14:32:45 20 the United States simply -- mostly related, I would say, to 21 that. 22 Mr. Eissis, I'm showing you what's been marked as 23 Defendant's Exhibit Z. 24 Α. Yeah.

MS. MICHELSON: Objection, your Honor.

14:33:13 25

756

Eissis - Direct

- 1 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 2 Q. It would be easier to read from the paper.
- 3 **A.** Okay.

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

14:35:13 25

14:34:36 20

14:34:14 15

14:34:00 10

- Q. What language is this in, Mr. Eissis?
- 14:33:38 5 **A.** This is in the Dutch language.
 - Q. Are you fluent in Dutch?
 - A. Yeah, I grew up there, although Mr. Groeneveld's lawyer in the back there probably thinks I'm not because I'm from -- and speak a little different there.
 - **Q.** Can you start at the top of the document and translate it for us?
 - A. Yeah.

On the left top of the document, what you see there is a logo, and I recognize that yellow piece to be the Netherlands, and the blue piece to be Belgium, and the red piece to be Luxembourg, and it's called -- those three companies are called the Benelux, Belgium, Netherlands, and Luxembourg and says the title is actually translated says the trademarks register. And it says Number 1 is the number that was the number of this trademark register, says Number is 0865044. So then it says the number and the day, sign it, the day and the hour of the depot. So it says that it was, you know, at -- the 19th of June, 2009, at 1704, that this was brought in, the date until this is the 19th of June, 2019. The name of the holder of this trademark is

Groeneveld Transport Efficiency, BV. The address of the holder of this trademark is Stephonson 12. That's the name of the road with the house number.

The postal code, the place, and land, and country of the holder is the town, is here, the postal code is 4207 HB, the country is the Netherlands, the name and the address of the person who Groeneveld -- I guess the law firm is where has Groeneveld has to do this is Norfolk Craft Netherlands, BV, and also the correspondence address of the holder, on Holbeck 3, in Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

The trademark that is being registered is called Lubecore, L-U-B-E-C-O-R-E. Then the class of the services are Guts and Guts. It's industrial oils and greases lubrication products, products for absorbing, spraying, and binding of material, fuels, including gasoline, light oils, engine oils for cooling lubrication and sealing. K-17 is automatic lubrication system, machine parts of engines, and K-19 is controls for automatic lubrication systems and engine oil refill systems. The class summary is 04-07-09. The date that this was done was 26-06-2009, June the 26th, 2009. The publication date was also June 26, 2009, and the status is that it has been accepted. So this is essentially Groeneveld registering my name in the Netherlands, Belgium, and Luxembourg, just to be nice or something I guess. And this is Exhibit Zed, or Z.

	Eissis - Cross
1	MR. ANASTOS: Thank you very much, Mr. Eissis.
2	I have no further questions for you at this time.
3	THE COURT: Cross-examination.
4	MS. MICHELSON: Yes, your Honor. Could we
14:38:14 5	approach? We have a slight scheduling issue.
6	THE COURT: For what?
7	MS. MICHELSON: For somebody we intend to call
8	who is going to be in class and unavailable tomorrow
9	morning.
14:38:27 10	THE COURT: Let's deal with this witness
11	first.
12	MS. MICHELSON: Okay.
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION OF JAN EISSIS
14	BY MS. MICHELSON:
14:38:55 15	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Eissis.
16	A. Good afternoon.
17	Q. Specifically on this Defendant's Exhibit Z, do you
18	have that?
19	Sir, you testified to the date of this document, it's
14:40:07 20	dated June 19, 2009, and then the date at the bottom is June
21	26, 2009. Right here, the 26th, 2009, and here under Item 2
22	is?
23	A. No. That's the expiring date.
24	Q. Oh, I'm sorry. Up here. June 19, 2009?
14:40:34 25	A. Yeah.

- Eissis Cross 1 Okay. And so this filing proceeded, meaning came Q. 2 before your company's entry into the United States market, 3 correct? 4 Excuse me? Α. 14:40:47 5 This filing occurred before your company started 6 selling its competing ALS EP-0 products in the United 7 States, right? Actually, it's pretty close. I don't really know 8 because I think at All Points Lubrication, Tim Anthony might 14:41:06 10 have been -- I can't see it without seeing it. 11 It occurred before Groeneveld -- there's testimony 0. 12 that Groeneveld learned that you were distributing products 13 in the United States towards the end of 2009, specifically the ALS pumps. This document indicates that the filing 14 14:41:24 15 occurred before that time, November and December, '09, 16 correct? 17 Can you show me the testimony? Α. 18 Can you just answer my question, sir, that this Ο. 19 document indicates that the filing was made before November 14:41:38 20 or December 2009? 21 This document, the filing from Groeneveld here was 22 done on the 19th of June, 2009.
 - And it does not -- and it occurred -- but, it occurred Ο. after you had entered into the marketplace in Canada with the competing ALS product that's at issue in this case,

23

24

14:42:00 25

- 1 | correct?
- 2 A. Now, first we're talking about Canada, now the first
 3 United States, and now Canada; is that correct?
- 4 O. Yes, I think I said Canada.
- 14:42:13 5 **A.** Okay. Can you please tell me which question you want 6 me to answer?
 - 7 Q. You entered the market with your competing ALS EP-0 product in Canada in 2008, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And the Defendant's Exhibit Z, the date on that document is mid 2009, June, 2009, right?
 - 12 A. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay. And it was filed in the European union in some countries in the European Union, correct?
 - A. What I see here is the Benelux, Belgium, Luxembourg and the Netherlands, and they are indeed in the European Union.
 - 18 **Q.** And it does not say Lubecore International, Inc., correct?
 - A. No, it says Lubecore.
 - **Q**. Okay.

14:42:53 15

14:43:08 20

16

17

21

22

23

24

- And there are a number of Lubecore businesses in the United States and in Canada as well that are not your corporate or business entities, correct?
- 14:43:26 25 **A.** That's correct.

- 1 And it -- my understanding is it's standard practice Ο. 2 in the European Union to file such documents as this, 3 trial -- file for these registrations, such as DX-Z. So 4 that -- so that business knows when its competitor may be 14:43:51 5 entering into the market in its geographical territory. 6 That's standard practice, right? 7 I'm not aware of that practice. Α. So you don't know one way or the other? 8 Q. Α. I'm not aware of that practice. 14:44:05 10 Q. And you came to Canada, I believe you said, in 1988, 11 correct? 12 It was the second time, yeah. Α. 13 I mean you started with CPL in 1988? Q. 14 Α. Correct. 14:44:48 15 Okay. And you were about what, 24, 25 years old then; Q. 16 is that right? 17 Born in '63. Yeah, that would make me, yeah, just Α. 18 before my 25th year. 19 And you were new to Canada and did not have an Q. 14:45:07 20 established business in Canada, correct? 21 Excuse me? Α. 22 You did not have any kind of established business or 23 business relationships in Canada when you arrived in North
- 14:45:20 25 **A.** That's correct.

America in '88?

24

- 2 And you started out as a distributorship, carrying
 Croeneveld's ALS products in Canada, right?
 - A. That's correct.

3

4

14

16

17

18

19

14:46:46 20

14:46:21 15

14:45:40 5

- Q. And you did that as a distributorship until about 2001, right?
- A. As a distributorship, yes, in 2001, I sold shares to Groeneveld, correct.
- 8 Q. Yes. In 2001, you sold 80 percent of CPL to Groeneveld for \$2.7 million, right?
- 14:46:02 10 **A.** That could be the amount, yes, that's pretty close.
 - Q. And then in 2004, you sold the remaining 20 percent of EP-0 shares to Groeneveld for \$1.42 million, right?
 - 13 A. Yes, that sounds close.
 - Q. And then even after that, in 2004, you stayed on with Groeneveld as, I think you said, president and basically, head of North American operations for Groeneveld, right?
 - A. Yeah, something like that, yeah.
 - Q. And you received salary in connection with your position as an employee of Groeneveld during the following years, right?
 - 21 **A.** Yeah.
 - 22 **Q.** 2004, 5, and 6?
 - 23 **a.** Yeah.
- Q. And you had bonuses paid to you as well; is that right? That's right as well, isn't it?

- 1 A. Yeah.
- 2 **Q.** And you derived other financial benefits from your employment with Groeneveld, including whatever benefits or
- perks that there were expenses and things along those lines,
 right?
- 14:47:12 5 ri

6

14:47:29 10

11

12

13

14

19

21

22

23

24

14:48:18 25

14:47:53 20

14:47:41 15

- **A.** What are you talking about?
- Q. Well, I don't know -- did you have additional financial rewards or compensation and benefits in addition to the salary and to the bonus?
 - A. Yeah, I think we had a -- I know we had a car allowance and it was a benefit like health plan, I would think.
 - Q. Okay.
 - And during those years, you left Groeneveld in January of 2007, right?
- 16 A. I don't like to call it that.
- Q. Okay. You stopped working for Groeneveld in January of 2007?
 - A. Yeah, I was constructively dismissed in January of 2007, correct.
 - Q. Well, I -- okay. And up until that time, you had earned in salary and bonus and perks, hundreds and hundreds of thousands of dollars in remuneration and compensation for the work that you did for Groeneveld's in North America, right?

- 1 A. Yeah, that's correct.
- 2 Q. And then at some point -- I need some water, excuse
- 3 me. In the time frame leading up to January, 2007,
- 4 departure from Groeneveld, it sounds like there was a
- 14:48:42 5 | business -- I don't know -- a conflict I think you said, in
 - 6 the -- in your vision and the headquarter office vision for
 - 7 the going forward operations at -- in Canada and the U.S.,
 - 8 right?
 - A. Can you please repeat that?
- 14:49:02 10 Q. You, Mr. Eissis, had a -- there was a conflict between
 - 11 the way you wanted the business to go forward and the way
 - Groeneveld headquarters wanted the business to go forward,
 - 13 the business plan?
 - 14 A. Yes, we did not agree.
- 14:49:15 15 Q. Yes, different strategic visions for future activity
 - 16 in North America, right?
 - 17 A. That was part of it, yeah.
 - 18 Q. During -- up until the time that -- oh, just one thing
 - 19 before I move on. You said you did have some restrictions
- in your -- that you weren't allowed to go into business
 - 21 against Groeneveld or compete with Groeneveld in ALS
 - business for a period of time after you stopped working for
 - 23 them. I believe you testified to that in direct exam,
 - 24 right?
- 14:49:53 25 **A.** Which document are you referring to?

- 1 Q. I believe you said in direct exam your own lawyer was
 2 asking you questions?
 3 A. Yeah.
 4 Q. You said that you had certain fiduciary obligations
 14:50:10 5 under Canadian law and you had certain restrictions that
 - under Canadian law and you had certain restrictions that prevented you from competing against Groeneveld in the ALS business?
 - A. Those were from my legal advisor related to the 2001 sale.
 - **Q.** Okay.

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

14:51:16 25

14:51:01 20

14:50:43 15

14:50:27 10

And so you were not permitted to do that for a period of time, one or two years after you and Groeneveld parted ways in January 2007, right?

- A. No, that's -- that was all expired.
- Q. It expired in January of 2007?
- A. Before that.
- Q. Okay.

In direct examination, just here a short time ago, I believe your testimony was that for a period of time after you left Groeneveld, you could not engage in directly competitive activities with its ALS business for a period of time after you were no longer there. You just said that, didn't you?

A. Yeah, but you're confusing the issue a little bit here. Those restrictions were based on the sale of the

company in 2001 and 2004. There was a certain amount of time attached to that, and then those periods had all expired by 2007. So the only restriction that I would have had left would be the fiduciary obligations.

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

14:52:54 25

14:52:40 20

14:52:20 15

14:51:46 5

14:51:59 10

And so those continued for a period of time after you left Groeneveld, right?

- A. Yeah, that's right.
- Q. Okay. And you don't dispute it was at least a year, right?
- A. No, my legal advice was that it would be between six months and one year, and typically, those things depend on how long the average quotation or transaction is fell for. So if you are quoting products out there and you say -- you quote for three months or four months, that your fiduciary obligation would be linked to that. However, it's not black and white, and from my understanding is it can only be decided by -- what the actual date is, the actual duration is, but certainly not longer than one year according to my legal advice.
- Q. All right. So your understanding was that you should not engage in competitive activity against Groeneveld for at least a year, that was your understanding, right, you just said that?
- A. No, it's not competitive activity. It's more selling

- product being out in the market. That's how I translate that. I'll come back to that.
- Q. And during the time that -- and until January 2007,
 the only ALS parts and systems that you carried were

 Groeneveld's, correct?
 - A. Can you repeat that question, please?
 - o. I will.

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

14:54:36 20

14:54:05 15

14:53:46 10

Until January of 2007 up until the time you and Groeneveld no longer worked with each other, the only ALS parts and systems you carried were Groeneveld's?

- A. That would be Groeneveld would be 99 percent of what I did before I sold shares to Mr. Groeneveld. It would have been other parts from competitors in my warehouse as well, depending on needs of our customers.
- Q. Sir, my question is up until January, 2007, the only complete ALS systems that you sold were Groeneveld's, correct?
- A. I have sold another one.
- **Q.** Do you recall giving testimony previously in this case?
- A. I remember --
- **Q.** You can just say yes. Do you remember giving testimony in the case?
- A. Yeah, I remember that, yeah.
- 14:54:45 25 Q. All right. It was under oath, right?

768 Eissis - Cross 1 Α. Yep. 2 And in a courtroom, right? Q. 3 Yep. Α. 4 And you swore to tell the truth about all this? 0. 14:54:51 5 Yeah. Α. 6 Okay. I'm directing your attention to the transcript Q. 7 of your hearing testimony. It is on Page 261, and I will be 8 reading from Lines 16 to 19. Let me know when you are 9 there, and I will read and I'll ask you please follow along. 14:55:44 10 Α. Where are you? 11 Page 261. 0. 12 Yeah, I got it. Α. Page 261, Line 16 through 19. Actually I'll start at 13 Q. 14 13 to put it in a context. 14:56:31 15 "Question: Okay. And then you were an actual 16 employee of Groeneveld through January of '07?

"Answer: That's right, yeah.

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

14:57:05 25

14:56:49 20

"Question: Okay. And during the time that you worked for Groeneveld, when Groeneveld owned CPL, you only carried Groeneveld parts and systems, right?

"Answer: That's correct, yeah."

Did I read that correctly for you?

- A. Yes, you did read that correctly to me.
- Q. Thank you. You can put that aside now if you wish.
- A. Actually, no. I don't wish to put that aside.

- 1 Q. Well, I -- then I'll come take it from you.
- 2 A. Because --

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

14:58:51 25

14:58:33 20

14:57:44 15

14:57:17 5

14:57:33 10

- 3 Q. There's no question before you.
 - A. This is the period I talked about before when I was at Groeneveld.
 - Q. I don't really understand what you said. I'm sorry.
 - A. The system that I supplied was a Lincoln system that I bought from Groeneveld, and it was before -- you're talking about a period I was after Groeneveld. I'm talking about a period before that.
 - Q. My only question to you, sir, was did I read from the transcript of your testimony correctly, and I believe you said yes.
 - A. And I just wanted to make sure that you had got it clear.
 - Q. Okay. And during the time that you were carrying the Groeneveld systems and EP-0 products and ALS products, you thought they were the best on the market, right?
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. You testified about this gentleman, Martin Vermeulen, from Tae Sung, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And you actually met him at that trade show in Amsterdam, that was October of 2007. Do you recall giving testimony to that effect in this case?

- 1 **A.** It's in the fall. It could have been October. 2 Q. Okay.
- A. I can talk to you for ten hours. So, yeah, it could have been.
- 14:59:03 5 **Q.** Okay.

6

7

8

11

12

14

16

17

21

22

23

24

14:59:40 15

15:00:44 20

14:59:19 10

And then you visited Mr. Vermeulen in North Korea and visited Tae Sung in North Korea in December of 2007?

- A. That's correct.
 - Q. And that was the visit that you talked about during your examination when Mr. Anastos was asking you questions about going to the -- going to the Tae Sung and meeting with Mr. Vermeulen, right?
- 13 A. That's correct.
 - Q. And during that visit, that is when you hired Mr. Tae Sung and Mr. Vermeulen to make a pump and a -- and ALS system for Lubecore?
 - A. That's incorrect.
- 18 **Q.** Page 228?
- 19 **A.** Okay.
 - Q. Of your hearing testimony. And I am reading from -starting Line 20.
 - "Question: Okay. And you re-met Martin Vermeulen I believe you said in October, around October of 2007, that's when the trade show was in Amsterdam, right?"
- 15:01:08 25 **A.** Where are you? Sorry.

Eissis - Cross 1 Page -- Line 20 of Page 227. Q. 2 Α. Okay. Go ahead, yeah. Is there a question? 3 Just follow along and then I'm going to ask you at the Ο. 4 end whether I read your testimony to the jury correctly. Okay? Page 227, Line 20. 15:01:32 5 6 "Question: Okay. And you re-met Mr. -- you re-met 7 Marvin Vermeulen I believe you said in October, around 8 October 2007. That's when that trade show was in Amsterdam 9 right? 15:01:48 10 "Answer: Yeah. That -- that sounds about right. 11 "Ouestion" --12 Actually, I don't see where you're reading that. Am I Α. 13 on a different page? What page are we on? 14 227. Ο. 15:02:02 15 Okay. I have something different here that I'm 16 looking at, different text. 17 0. All right. 18 We'll do it differently because we're having trouble 19 finding it. 15:02:17 20 MS. MICHELSON: Can you switch, please? Thank 21 you. 22 Page 227, Line 20. Q. 23 "Question: Okay. And you re-met Martin Vermeulen I 24 believe you said in October, around October 2007. That's

when that trade show was in Amsterdam right?

15:02:47 25

	Elssis - Cross
1	"Answer: Yeah, that that sound about right.
2	"Question: And you were thinking about getting back
3	into the ALS business at that point in time, right?
4	"Answer: Yes, it does interest me.
15:03:03 5	"Question: Okay. But, you could not find a product
6	or system that existed at the time that you liked, right?
7	"Answer: Even if I found something that I liked, it
8	was more than that. It was also I didn't like the product,
9	but I also didn't like the limitations I would have as to
15:03:28 10	where I could distribute the product.
11	"Question: Do you recall testifying that when you met
12	Martin Vermeulen, you and he had a conversation, you talked
13	about ALS, and you told him you couldn't you didn't have
14	a product that you liked or could believe in?
15:03:46 15	"Answer: Yeah. 15.
16	"Question: Do you remember telling me that, 16?
17	"Answer: Yes. 17.
18	"Question: Okay. And that was in the end of 2000
19	and gosh, 2007, right?
15:04:00 20	"Answer: Yeah, that's correct.
21	"Question: And then you went to Korea and met with, I
22	guess, the president or owner of Tae Sung and Mr. Vermeulen
23	as well?
24	"Answer: Yeah.
15:04:13 25	"Question: To discuss your pump for Lubecore and what

	Eissis - Cross
1	it would look like and how it would function; is that right?
2	"Answer: Not what it would look like. What I would
3	like to see in a pump, what features I would want in a pump.
4	"Question: And that was in December of 2007, you have
15:04:31 5	testified in our case?
6	"Answer: December of that's correct."
7	Okay. Did I read this correctly?
8	A. Yes, you read that correctly.
9	Q. Okay. And so you hired Mr. Vermeulen and Tae Sung in
15:04:52 10	December of 2007?
11	A. No, that's not what it says. Read it again, if you
12	want.
13	THE COURT: I think we can go on to something
14	else.
15:05:03 15	THE WITNESS: Just so you know in January, I
16	finally went ahead with it. We talked about it, but I
17	didn't make a decision in December.
18	Q. In January of '08?
19	A. In January of '08.
15:05:12 20	Q. So you're investigating it and you'd gone out there to
21	meet with them?
22	A. Yeah, taken a look at it.
23	\mathbf{Q} . And then you made the decision in January of '08?
24	A. Yeah. Just ask me what you want to know.
15:05:23 25	Q. You hired them in January of '08?

- Eissis Cross 1 Α. In January of '08. I went ahead with making the 2 tooling and moving on, yes. 3 With Tae Sung and Mr. Vermeulen? Ο. 4 Yes. Α. Sir, you've given testimony to the contrary in another 15:05:42 5 6 matter concerning your involvement in the ALS business in 7 that same time frame, correct? No, I did not. And we already discussed that earlier. 8 Α. And if I bring that to your attention again, then go for it. 15:06:07 10 0. Do you recall testifying in another matter under oath 11 that you were not involved or had made any plans for getting 12 into a business, the automatic lubrication system business 13 in competition with Groeneveld in January of 2008? 14 Α. No, I want you to show it to me on paper. You're 15:06:30 15 turning things around. And I'll show exactly how it is. 16 signed a document and I know exactly what this is all about. 17 I signed that paper truthfully in Canada in January of 2008, 18 and after that, I went ahead with Martin. 19 You not only signed affidavits and papers in that Q. 15:07:00 20 matter in December of '07, you also gave testimony under 21 oath in January of '08, right?
 - That's possible. Α.

22

23

24

15:07:30 25

I'm showing you now a transcript from testimony in another matter in Canada in which you sued Groeneveld, correct?

	Eissis - Cross
1	MR. ANASTOS: Your Honor, she has a motion in
2	limine pending not to talk about this.
3	THE COURT: Right, you filed the motion.
4	BY MS. MICHELSON:
15:07:38 5	Q. The date on it is January 14th, '08. I'm going to
6	read for you. It's on Page 12.
7	"Question: The question was what product or
8	product what product or product categories would you have
9	spent time on while you were in a relationship with
15:07:58 10	Groeneveld or CPL automated greasing systems, oil master,
11	grease sites, speed limits, cab, Orlaco Cameras, Voyager
12	Cameras, and oil drain clubs.
13	"Question: All right. And of all those product
14	categories, Mr. Eissis, are you currently directly or
15:08:17 15	indirectly involved in the sale or attempted sale of any of
16	those today?
17	"Answer: Of those products?
18	"Yes.
19	"Answer: Yes, I am.
15:08:27 20	"Question: Which ones are you pursuing business in?
21	"Answer: In Orlaco Cameras.
22	"Question: Any of the other ones?
23	"Answer: No."
24	I read that correctly?
15:08:38 25	A. Yes, and that shows you that I did not sell automatic

1	greasing systems at that time. You are correct.
2	Q. Reading further, Page 122.
3	"Question: Is it fair to say that among other things,
4	given your extensive experience in the businesses that CPL
15:08:55 5	and Groeneveld run, that you would like to get into the
6	business, into business selling the types of products or
7	similar types of products that Groeneveld and CPL sell?
8	"Answer: Can you rephrase that question?
9	"Question: Is it fair to say that you would like or
15:09:16 10	will consider entering into businesses that will sell
11	products that are the same as or similar to products that
12	Groeneveld and CPL had sold historically?
13	"Answer: Will consider, yes."
14	Did I read it?
15:09:31 15	A. Yes, I considered that at that point. And after that
16	I gave the go ahead with Martin.
17	$oldsymbol{Q}$. And you did not disclose at the time that you actually
18	had done more than just considered it, correct?
19	MR. ANASTOS: Objection.
15:09:45 20	THE WITNESS: I was not asked that question.
21	THE COURT: You can answer that. That answer
22	may stand. Let's go on to something else.
23	Q. "Question: And other than what you've described in
24	your testimony today, Mr. Eissis, and this will be a
15:10:02 25	multi-stage question, have you carried on business other

777

Eissis - Cross

1 than as you -- since January the 29th, 2007, other than as 2 you've described in your testimony today? 3 "Answer: No. 4 "Ouestion: The answer is no? "Answer: The answer is no. 15:10:18 5 "Question: Other than the potential leads, if I can 6 7 call them that, that you have described in your testimony 8 today, are there any other business opportunities that you 9 have considered or pursued since January the 28th, 2007? 15:10:35 10 "Answer: Yes. 11 "Question: What are they? 12 "Answer: I've considered bringing sheep from Calgary 13 to Ontario. 14 "Question: Sheep? 15:10:46 15 "Answer: Sheep, yes." 16 Did I read that correctly, too? 17 Α. Yeah, you got it. Nothing about going to Korea and hiring or hiring a 18 Q. 19 company to make these competitive systems? 15:11:03 20 What was the date on this document? Α. You didn't disclose that information in response to 21 Q. 22 the questions asked at the time, correct? 23 MR. ANASTOS: Objection. 24 THE COURT: It wasn't -- it speaks for itself. 15:11:29 25

1 BY MS. MICHELSON:

2 Q. Mr. Eissis, we heard you testify at length?

THE WITNESS: Excuse me. My mouth is very

4 dry. Can I get a bottle of water?

THE COURT: Yeah, you can. We'll take our

6 afternoon recess at this time, folks. Fifteen minutes.

Keep in mind the admonition.

(Thereupon, a recess was taken.)

MS. MICHELSON: May I proceed, your Honor.

778

THE COURT: Sure.

11 BY MS. MICHELSON:

12 Q. Mr. Eissis, you testified a number of Groeneveld pumps

here today, and I'd like you to confirm that you think this

is the first generation of the Groeneveld.

15:31:11 15 **A.** No.

7

8

9

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

15:31:41 25

15:31:24 20

15:30:59 10

Q. What, is this the first one?

A. I can't see it from here, but if you hold it out of

the lineup a little bit. Okay. This one.

Q. Is this the first one?

A. Actually, I would like to answer the question.

Q. Well, my question is simple.

A. Okay. So on that pump, if you read it to the right

inside, it says Series B. Okay. So I was not there -- I

was not part of that, but that's from before the first one

you pointed out.

1 **Q.** So this is the first one?

not -- I was not around then.

- 2 **A.** It's an earlier one. I'm not saying first. It is an earlier version than this one, yes, than that one.
 - o. So --

4

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

24

15:33:07 25

15:32:48 20

15:32:30 15

15:32:10 10

- 15:31:51 5 A. Would you like to identify it, which one you're talking about.
 - Q. I'll do that. So the record is clear you're indicating Defendant's Exhibit AA came first in time.
 - Defendant's Exhibit Y, came second in time, and then this four-liter pump, we marked Exhibit 143. That came third in time, correct?
 - A. I can't say that. See the -- I was only around since 1988. And the first pump that you see here because it has the word Series B on it. I -- there might be a Series A, which I'm not aware of, but I know that that pump is older than the 1988 pumps that I was buying. So it's not necessarily the first one. I cannot testify to that. I was
 - Q. Right, you weren't around, were you, in 1981 or 2 or 3 or '85 with Groeneveld, were you?
 - A. I was not around with Groeneveld. That's correct.
 - Q. Yes, and you weren't with Groeneveld in 1986 or '87 either, correct?
 - **A.** I saw Groeneveld products being installed at a Daph dealership in the Netherlands in 1986.

1 So you didn't know what was going on in the United 0. 2 States with pumps and Groeneveld pumps in -- at any time in 3 the 1980s until you started carrying product in Canada in 4 1988, right? My experience started in Canada, in 1988. 15:33:19 5 6 Okay. And so you have no -- I mean honestly you don't 7 have any personal, firsthand knowledge which of the pumps are first, second, third in time, correct? 8 9 MR. ANASTOS: Objection. 15:33:34 10 THE WITNESS: The first pump you see here, 11 when I was in the Netherlands with Groeneveld, I took photos 12 of machines, and that pump, you would see on the trucks in 13 the Netherlands. 14 0. In the Netherlands? 15:33:45 15 And I also have seen that pump in North America as Α. 16 well in Canada because that's where it's from. 17 0. You testified that Mr. Vermeulen designed these -- the 18 pump that's at issue in the case, correct? 19 This pump that you're referring to. Α. 15:34:09 20 The Groeneveld, maybe Mr. Groeneveld -- Mr. Vermeulen 21 testified to that. 22 You tell me, I don't know what you're --Α. 23 Well, do you know who engineered this pump, Exhibit Q. 24 143 for Groeneveld? Do you know personally who did that?

Can you show me the pump? Okay.

15:34:31 25

Α.

- Eissis Cross 1 Do you personally know who did that, engineered this Q. 2 pump 143? 3 Yes, I do. Α. 4 You weren't there with Groeneveld in the 80's -- until '88, right? 15:34:51 5 I was with Groeneveld in 1988, and this pump, for 6 7 example, if you look at it has a follower plate if you want 8 to hold it up. 9 Martin Vermeulen talks about using the follower plate 15:35:07 10 in the pump, so the other pump that you see the second one 11 cannot have a follower plate because it does not have a 12 quide rod. So obviously Martin is talking about that pump 13 that you just held up. 14 0. Okay. You never worked for Groeneveld at their 15:35:20 15 headquarters office, right? 16 Α. I was there on a regular basis. I visited there. 17 Did you work at their headquarters? 0. 18 I only worked there for maybe ten days. Α. 19 Okay. Q. 15:35:30 20 You didn't work for the production department or the 21 technical department or the factory which was in Italy, 22 right? 23
 - No, I did not work for Groeneveld in Italy. Α.

24

15:35:56 25

Okay. And you -- will you agree with me that the 0. basis of all three of these Groeneveld pumps look the same?

- 782 Eissis - Cross 1 And I'll read them in the record. DX-AA, DX-Y, and this 2 These look the same, these bases, don't they? I went through great trouble earlier to explain to the 3 Α. 4 jury the differences in the bases of those pumps. If I --5 I know you did that, but my question is this, my 15:36:24 6 question is these three bases look the same, right? 7 They look similar. Α. And I mean this -- these little cut out pieces here 8 0. are the same on each of these three pumps, the center of 15:36:40 10 each pump? 11 I can't say they're the same, but they look similar. Α. 12 They look the same, though, right? Q. 13 They look similar, yeah. Α. 14 Q. The identification plate is in the same location? 15:36:55 15 No, it's not. Α. 16 It's not in the front center of the pump? Q. 17 Actually if you look at the first pump here, that Α. 18 identification plate is on the edge of the pump. 19 Uh-huh. Q. 15:37:07 20 And the other one is -- it's a long narrow one that 21 has to be brought through by something and the other is 22 square and lower so not in the same point, no. 23
 - Q. You agree with me that the ID plates are on all three dead center of the pump, won't you?
 - They are on the front, yes. Α.

24

15:37:25 25

- 1 Q. Okay. Dead center, right?
- 2 A. I did not measure that, no.
 - Q. I'm asking if you'll agree with me?
 - A. That one is kind of missing.
- 15:37:37 5 Q. So you can't say. Okay. We'll let the jury decide.
 - And this -- what's that the filler, the filler -- what do
 - you call this guy again, that's the filler line?
 - 8 A. Excuse me.

3

4

15:37:57 10

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

15:38:40 25

15:38:24 20

15:38:10 15

- Q. What do you call this pump again? I don't want to mis --
- 11 **A.** That is the -- can you show it to me? I believe
 12 that's the Dutch cap that goes over the filler connector.
- 13 **Q.** The filler who, what?
 - A. The dust cap that goes over the filler connector.
 - Q. And that part is in the same location on all three of these Groeneveld pumps, all three generations of these Groeneveld pumps, right?
 - A. If you would put them in a row so I can see them.

 There it's in a similar location.
 - Q. You want to step down because I'd like the jury to see that. So if you want to step down, you're more than welcome. But I -- I can also hold them for you, but I'd like the jurors to follow along. They might not know what a filler coupler is.

These items, you'll agree with me, they're in the same

1 place on the pump?

4

6

7

11

12

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

15:39:55 25

15:39:45 20

15:39:27 15

15:39:00 5

15:39:20 10

- 2 **A.** This housing is different. It's in a similar location. You would have to measure that.
 - Q. You have to measure that to see that, right?

 And you see this part front and center, these
 distinctive sort of parts to the housing that stick out in
 this matter, do you see what I'm talking about?
- 8 A. Yeah, I see it, yeah.
 - Q. They repeat in all of the generations of the Groeneveld, including the ones that you brought to court?
 - A. Yes.
 - **Q.** Just now?
- 13 **A.** Yeah.
 - **Q.** Okay.

So I mean -- you'll agree with me the basic general overall shape and configuration of these three pumps is the same, there are differences, I will give you that, but my question is you'll agree with me that the basic general overall shape configuration and impression that these three pumps create is the same, right?

- A. See this pump on the front here has the square.
- Q. I know you don't want to answer my question.
- A. That is out.
 - Q. You can return to the stand now. Thank you, sir.

 I know you don't like to answer my questions, but my

785

Eissis - Cross

- 1 question is you will agree with me, will you not, that all
- 2 three have the same general overall shape, visual
- 3 presentation, arrangement of the parts, all three
- 4 generations, correct?
- 15:40:10 5 A. There are similarities in the bottom of those pumps.
 - 6 Q. And in the reservoirs, right?
 - 7 **A.** I think there's a big difference between the
 - 8 reservoirs.
 - Q. Is the shape similar?
- 15:40:22 10 **A.** Pardon?
 - 11 Q. Does the shape of it look the same?
 - 12 **A.** No. The pump on the two has a totally different
 - reservoir than 1 and 3.
 - 14 Q. Is this reservoir on DX-AA a cylinder?
- 15:40:35 15 **A.** Yes.
 - 16 Q. Okay. Is this reservoir on DX-Y a cylinder?
 - 17 A. No, it's a cone.
 - 18 **Q.** This is a cone?
 - 19 A. Yeah. That's the problem with it. It is shaped on
- the inside as a cone, that's why you can't use a follower
 - 21 plate in it.
 - 22 Q. But, I'm asking you this shape that I'm looking at,
 - you're saying that this is a cone?
 - 24 A. Yeah, the inside has a conical -- a conical shape.
- 15:41:02 25 It's smaller.

- 1 Q. How about the outside, the outside of the cylinder 2 shape, right?
 - A. The cylinder with two bubbles on the back and pipes in the back, yeah.
 - Q. Thank you.

3

4

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

18

19

21

22

23

24

15:42:17 20

15:42:07 15

15:41:14 5

15:41:29 10

And the shape of the third pump here, that's Defendant's Exhibit 143, that's the cylinder, too, isn't it, the reservoir?

- A. Yeah, between the lid and the housing, yeah.
- Q. And the six-liter Lubecore, Defendant's Exhibit B -do we have another one of Groeneveld somewhere, A -- we have
 Defense Exhibit A. I'll take this. These have also been
 marked as an exhibit in the case. This is Plaintiff's
 Exhibit 1 and this is Defense Exhibit B; B being the
 Lubecore, and 1 being the Groeneveld. Do you see those
- 17 **A.** Yeah.

here?

Q. All right.

And that overall shape is the same, the reservoir is bigger, it's six liters instead of the four, right?

- A. Six is bigger than four, that's correct.
- Q. Yeah, and besides the fact the reservoir is taller for more grease, right?
- A. Yeah.
- 15:42:30 25 Q. It's the same shape, overall shape, the cylindrical

- Eissis Cross 1 reservoirs and the bases that have these unique cut outs 2 here in the aluminum, see that? 3 It's not a unique cut out. It just holds the bottom Α. 4 on. The function of those little cut outs is to put a bolt in to hold the lid on, the bottom cover on. It's not a 15:42:51 5 6 unique shape. It's just -- there's a threaded hole in there 7 that holds the bottom hole on plate on the top that has a function --8 Anybody else's single-line pneumatic piston generated 15:43:09 10 pump --11 Yeah. Α. 12 -- other than yours, mimic these pieces? Q. 13 Let's have a look at it, how they both go on. Α. 14 Ο. You can't see it? No, you said anybody else's. I'm only seeing two. 15:43:25 15 Α. 16 I'd like to see how Bijur holds their bottom on the pump. 17 0. Well --18 You asked me about --Α. 19 Don't you know? Q. 15:43:37 20 If you show me a photo, then that will refresh my 21 memory. We're talking very detail here, so. 22 Okay. So you -- you don't know about the other Q.
 - competitors' pumps unless you see pictures of them?

23

24

15:43:53 25

A. Yeah, actually I know more about the Lubecore pump and the Groeneveld pump than about a Bijur pump.

1 By the way, there's extra aluminum on your Lubecore Q. 2 pump? 3 Α. I would not know. 4 That doesn't make this pump grease --0. Pardon? 15:44:15 5 Α. -- through your system, this piece here? 6 Q. 7 Yeah. Α. That lip, these lips? 8 Q. 9 Α. Yeah. 15:44:21 10 That doesn't pump grease in an ALS, correct? Q. 11 The functionality of the rib is to create extra Α. 12 strength between the mounting bracket and the top of the 13 piece here so that there's no movement going on there. 14 Back to -- these lips do not pump grease, from the 0. 15:44:40 15 system, do they? 16 The functionality of the lip is that it creates Α. 17 strength between the top and the bottom. So when it is 18 vibrating on the torque, you won't see cracks in there. 19 Q. Hm. 15:44:51 20 There's extra aluminum here as well on the Lubecore 21 for the filler line, right? 22 Α. Compared to what? 23 Compared to the Groeneveld? Q. 24 The functionality of the extra aluminum there like I 15:45:08 25 explained is that.

- 1 Q. That's not my question.
- 2 A. Can I give my answer? There's a fitting that goes
- 3 into that extra piece of aluminum so that you could put a
- 4 lens on it so the functionality is to solve the problem that
- we had with the Groeneveld pump where you couldn't get the
 - 6 | fitting out.
 - 7 Q. Can I get that Ecostar picture? Thanks. And this is
 - 8 that Ecostar pump that you talked about on direct exam, our
 - 9 picture is Exhibit 47-1 and there's a companion 47-2. You
- 15:45:48 10 see that there?
 - 11 A. Yes, I see it.
 - 12 Q. That's the same one that appears in Defense Exhibit CC
 - 13 up here in the right-hand corner?
 - 14 A. It's probably a picture of a similar pump, yeah. It's
- a different picture, but --
 - 16 Q. It's the same pump, isn't it? The same kind of pump,
 - 17 | isn't it?
 - 18 A. Yeah, I would say so.
 - 19 Q. Okay. All right. And you said that this is also a
- pneumatic with a piston inside, right?
 - 21 A. Yeah. That's also a single stroke air operated pump.
 - 22 Q. Okay. And this base looks different than the
 - Groenevelds that you have lined up in the Lubecore, right?
 - 24 A. The shape on the, of bottom?
- 15:46:44 25 **Q.** Yeah.

1 Α. Looks different, yeah. 2 The overall shape is also different as well, right? 0. 3 I still see like -- I think that the Lubecore pump Α. 4 looks more like a Groeneveld pump than the Ecostar looks 15:47:00 5 like a Groeneveld pump. 6 The Lubecore looks identical to the Groeneveld pump, 7 doesn't it? No, I don't agree with that. It looks a lot alike, 8 Α. 9 but I explained clearly the differences here. It's not 15:47:11 10 identical. 11 Well, doesn't look like the Ecostar, does it? 0. 12 Would you like me to show you the differences again 13 between the two pumps? 14 0. Not really, but I'd like you to agree it looks nothing 15:47:23 15 like the Ecostar pump because that's what I asked. Can you? 16 Α. The Ecostar pump looks less like a Lubecore pump than 17 the Groeneveld pump does. 18 Martin Vermeulen could not have designed the EP-0 Ο. 19 Groeneveld pump that we are at issue with in this lawsuit 15:47:45 20 because he didn't even work at Groeneveld during the time 21 period that it was developed. Will you agree with me? 22 No, and that's exactly why I brought those two pumps Α. in to explain that to you. I figured out last week you 23 24 would go in that direction, so when it's clear that Martin

is talking about a pump that has a follower plate in it.

15:48:01 25

- Eissis Cross 1 You only think -- you only figured that out last week? 0. 2 Because we've been taking testimony in this case for a long 3 time. You only figured that out last week? 4 Yeah, I did, yeah. Α. 15:48:11 5 Okay. Q. 6 And isn't it true -- you know anything about the 7 history with Grease Jockey with the Groeneveld as well? 8 Α. Just hearsay. Q. Well, the same as the history with these, too, right? 15:48:30 10 MR. ANASTOS: Objection. 11 THE WITNESS: No, no. 12 THE COURT: Overruled. 13 THE WITNESS: The pump, 1988 pump, I sold 14 hundreds of that one myself. I had it in my hands, and that 15:48:37 15 particular pump that you see there was also taken off a 16 truck in Canada. I've seen it on the road. I know that is 17 an older version of Pump 1, and then the one with the shape 18 that I used to buy was after that. I know that from my 19 personal -- from my personal knowledge. 15:48:53 20 So after Groeneveld -- Groeneveld at one point decided 21 to stop importing the Grease Jockey TI Samuel Moore system 22 and decide to make its own pump?
 - 23 A. Correct.

24

15:49:11 25

- Q. Correct? And that was in 1979 and 1980, correct?
- A. That's what Willem van der Hulst said.

- Q. You don't dispute that, do you?
 A. Actually I can't confirm it neither, and I would like to point out to you on the bottom of the pump the lids there, it's called a filler pump.
 - Q. Okay. I'm not sure what you said, but that's okay.
 - A. On the bottom of the base of that pump, it says Furna, which is different than Groeneveld.
 - Q. Also the labels don't tell the whole story, do they?
- 9 **A.** Pardon?

15:49:26 5

15:50:01 15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

15:50:30 25

15:50:15 20

6

7

8

- 15:49:43 10 Q. The labels don't tell the whole story, do they?
 - 11 **A.** Which label?
 - 12 **Q.** Any of them.
 - 13 **A.** The label on the side of the housing? The label on the side of the housing says if you are in a GTE.
 - Q. So Groeneveld went -- decided it would have -- develop its own pump and they did that with Sempress. Do you recall that testimony?
 - A. I heard testimony about that, yes.
 - Q. Okay. And they did that for awhile and then scrapped it, right?
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. And then designed -- I'll go somewhere else. Martin Vermeulen says he designed this version of the Groeneveld, this generation of the Groeneveld that's at issue in the case, correct?

1 Which pump are you talking about now? Α. 2 The one at issue in the case. 0. 3 I heard Martin Vermeulen say in his testimony that he Α. 4 was part of a team that developed that pump. Okay. March couldn't have done it because he wasn't 15:50:41 5 6 there by his own testimony when the thing happened; he 7 couldn't have been? Totally incorrect about it. Martin Vermeulen was 8 there in 1988 when I was there. Martin Vermeulen was there 15:51:01 10 between 1988. I bought the second pump in 1988, and the 11 third pump came in the 90's. So Martin Vermeulen was there 12 for a number of years before I got the pump, the follower 13 plate which solves the issues ahead, and I talk personally 14 to Martin Vermeulen about that, about the issues that I had, 15:51:21 15 and he explained to me that it was going to be a solution to 16 the follower plate coming and that is introduced in that 17 last pump -- the third pump that you see there. So I know 18 that firsthand. I talk to him, I talked about my problem. 19 Martin Vermeulen testified that he started with Q. 15:51:38 20 Groeneveld in 1984 and upon his hire, started working on the 21 Groeneveld system that is the one that's at issue in this 22 case, right? 23 MR. ANASTOS: I'll object to the 24 characterization. 15:51:52 25 THE COURT: Sustained. Ask what he did, not

- 1 what somebody else testified about.
- 2 BY MS. MICHELSON:
- 3 Q. Martin Vermeulen was not there at Groeneveld?
 4 THE COURT: Let's go on to something else.

15:52:12 5 MS. MICHELSON: In 1986.

THE COURT: Ms. Michelson, go on to something else. We beat this one to death.

8 BY MS. MICHELSON:

6

7

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

15:53:21 25

15:53:07 20

15:52:45 15

15:52:28 10

- Q. ALS pumps all work even though they look different, correct?
- 11 A. Yeah, correct.
 - Q. The various competing ALS automatic lubrication system pumps, they all work as well as the Groeneveld and the Lubecore, even though they look different, correct?
 - A. Can you repeat that?
 - Q. The various competing automatic lubrication system pumps all work as well as the Groeneveld and the Lubecore, even though they look different, right?
 - A. Competing pumps are you talking about?
 - Q. The ones with pistons in them, the ones with air in them, they work -- you testified -- do you remember testifying that they all work as well even though they look different, that it doesn't have to look exactly like the Groeneveld for it to work? Do you recall saying that?
 - A. Actually, I don't recall it, but I believe I did. It

Case: 1:10-cv-00702-DCN Doc #: 324 Filed: 09/05/12 124 of 159. PageID #: 8630 795 Eissis - Cross 1 makes sense. 2 Okay. So you agree they could look different and 3 still work? 4 Yes. Α. The Lubecore pump piston ratio is 10 to 1, right? 15:53:31 5 Q. 6 That would be rounding it up. Α. 7 66. Actually, I'll use theirs. Actually, this is 0. 8 Defendant's Exhibit K. 9 Α. Yeah. 15:54:01 10 This is the Lubecore manual, general manual for the Ο. 11 single-line automated lubrication system with a 12 pneumatically operated pump, correct? 13 That's correct. Α. 14 This is Lubecore's general manual? Q. 15:54:13 15 Looks like it. Α. 16 Reading from Page 13 of your manual? Q. 17 Can you make it a bit sharper. Α. 18 I sure can. Here's a picture of the piston, a diagram Q. 19 actually of a piston in your pump. 15:54:39 20 Yeah. Α. 21 The Lubecore pump? Q. 22 Yeah. Α. 23 It talks about a 10 to 1 ratio? Q. 24 Can we see what it says there? Reduction ratio almost

15:54:49 25

10 to 1. Okay.

- 1 **Q.** Correct?
- 2 A. Yeah, I said that, yeah.
 - **Q.** 10 to 1?

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

15:56:03 25

15:55:48 20

15:55:34 15

15:54:57 5

15:55:12 10

- A. You know when we say 10 to 1, because you're going into so much detail with me, if I measure this piston here.
- **Q**. Yeah.
 - A. And I measure this hole down there, and I do the calculation how many square inches this hole is and that is, it might be like 9.5 or 10.1. I'm just being careful that I don't get you off on a tangent that I say it's 1 to 10 and you say it's 1 to 9 and half or something. It's close.
 - Q. I appreciate it. And so the ratio is a factor of the diameter of the top part of the piston, which is the grease piston -- let me finish my question. It's really -- to the diameter of the air piston which is at the bottom of that --
 - A. No.
 - Q. The part you have in front of you?
 - A. No, that's not correct. It's not the diameter. It's the square -- it's the square inches. If you would take ten times this diameter.
 - Q. Um-hum.
 - A. You would be getting this big. So it's actually the square inches or square craniometers. That's the ratio.
 - Q. Okay. But, it's relationship between the area at the top of the piston to the bottom of the piston?

- 1 A. That's correct, yeah.
 - Q. And that's a mathematical relationship, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And the testimony has been that the housing has to be

 -- the Lubecore housing has to be the size and shape that it

 is because of that mathematical relationship between the top

 part and the bottom part of that piston piece, the grease

 piston and the air piston, right?
 - A. Can you -- can you repeat that, please?
 - Q. Okay.

Well, maybe you don't agree. The outside of -- the outside shape of the housing does not have to follow 100 percent the shape of that piston that you have in front of you, does it?

A. The outside shape of the housing, there's essentially this — this piston slides through an aluminum housing with a sleeve in it. The out — in this, there's an air pressure on every transport for about 120 PSI. So 120 PSI worth of air pressure going in here, you have ratio of close to 10 to 1, close to a thousand PSI pressure there. So because of the — because of the size of this piston, and the pressure that goes in there, it is a certain wall thickness of aluminum that has to go around that so it won't explode. If you make that, for example, an eighth of an inch, it could explode because of the air pressure so certain wall thick

15:57:49 25

798 Eissis - Cross 1 necessary calculated to hold 120 PSI of air pressure. 2 So the sleeve depends on the diameter of the piston? Q. 3 Excuse me. Α. 4 The size of the sleeve depends on the shape of the 0. bottom of the piston? 15:57:59 5 Which sleeve are you talking about? 6 7 The one that you just said that it's in when it's 0. inside the pump? 8 Yeah, there's a -- it's an aluminum housing and 15:58:10 10 there's a plastic sleeve that goes inside of that. And the 11 plastic sleeve has the function that it doesn't start 12 corroding on the inside from the air so that this works on plastic instead of aluminum. Like, for example, the second 13 14 pump there does not have that, and we would get pitted holes 15:58:27 15 in the outside of that tube, and then you would get air 16 leaks that seal. So then now that it's a plastic sleeve in 17 there to prevent that from happening. 18 Okay. Q. 19 So the -- your testimony your position is that the 15:58:41 20 outside dimensions of the housing is a reflection of this 21

size of the piston that's inside, right?

- No, the outside dimension of the housing is a Α. reflection also of the air pressure that goes in there.
- Q. Okay.

22

23

24

15:58:54 25

And the air pressure that's calculated, depending on

- Eissis Cross 1 the size of the piston and the relationship between the 2 grease piston and the air piston, right? 3 No, the air pressure is the air pressure. The air 4 pressure is a given. Every truck has the same air pressure, 15:59:14 5 120 PSI, the all the trucks for the brake systems are set 6 for -- 120 PSI. So if the bottom part of that piston you have up 7 there, if the bottom part of that piston is smaller, then 8 the thing around it can be smaller, right? 15:59:32 10 Α. If the bottom part of this piston --11 Then the --0. 12 This pistol here is smaller. Α. 13 And the thing around it, the shell around it, the Q. thing that houses it can be smaller as well, right? 14 15:59:44 15 Yeah, you could do that. If you make this smaller the Α. 16 housing around it could be small as well, yeah. 17 And if the -- if the bottom part of the piston, 18 meaning the air piston, is bigger, then that affects the 19 size of the external housing also, right? 16:00:00 20 That's correct. Α. 21 Q. Okay. 22 And so the ratio of the Lubecore piston is about 10 to 23 1; we just established, right?
 - 24 A. You said, yeah.
- 16:00:10 25 Q. And the piston relationship, the ratio of the

- 1 Groeneveld piston isn't 10 to 1, right; it's 9 to 1?
- 2 Α. It's very close.
- 3 It's 9 to 1, right? Ο.
- 4 I would have to calculate that, and it is very close. Α.
- Like the difference is this. This here, this is the 16:00:27 5
 - 6 difference. So if I make a mathematical calculation, can't
 - 7 be the same. This is the Lubecore one and this is the
 - Groeneveld one, does not fit. 8
 - You work for Groeneveld and carry those products for a very long time, sir, right?
 - 11 I did, yeah. Α.
 - It's nine to one, isn't it? Do you need me to go find 12
 - 13 it in the manual?
 - 14 Pardon? Α.

Α.

16:00:58 10

19

21

16:01:33 20

- 16:01:08 15 Okay. I'm reading from PX Exhibit 10-18. There it Q.
 - 16 Nine-to-one ratio. Do you see that there? is.
 - 17 Α. Yeah. This Groeneveld pump is that.
 - 18 Pneumatically-operated pump? Ο.

 - second Groeneveld pump, it has the ID plate on there that
 - 22 you can have different ratios. That pump is available in 12

second Groeneveld pump -- if you look on the front of the

Yeah. If you look, for example, on the front of that

- 23 and a half to one. It's available in 9 to 1, as you saw
- 24 there. Like Groeneveld has different ratios in that.
- 16:01:54 25 Q. The Groeneveld EP-0 single-line pneumatically-operated

801

1 | pump piston, ratio is 9 to 1, right?

- A. They also have a 12 and a half to 1.
- 3 Q. But, you didn't copy that one, sir. I'm talking about the one that's on the table.

MR. ANASTOS: Objection.

- Q. That one is 9 to 1, right?
- THE COURT: Then you should have asked that question. Ask him if the one on the table.
 - Q. Does the one on the table have the ratio of 9 to 1?
 - A. I would have to look at that, the part number of the pump.
- 12 **Q.** Sir?

2

5

6

9

11

18

19

21

16:02:46 20

16:02:19 10

16:02:09

- 13 **A.** It's a 361.
- Q. You, in fact, have testified that the grease piston inside the base of the Lubecore is different than the one in the Groeneveld, right?
 - 17 A. Yeah, that's right.
 - Q. All right. And you admit that because the internal mechanism inside the housing is different than the Groeneveld? The outside could be shaped differently, and it would still work, right?
 - 22 **A.** Please. Can you repeat that?
 - 23 Q. Because the internal mechanism inside the housing --
 - 24 A. The piston inside the housing.
- 16:03:02 25 Q. Because the internal mechanism inside the housing of

the Lubecore is different than the internal mechanism inside 1 2 the housing of the Groeneveld? 3 I am sorry. You're totally confusing me. I have no Α. 4 idea what you're trying to ask me. Because the piston inside this guy is different than 16:03:25 5 the piston inside this quy, you admit that the outside 6 7 things around it could be shaped differently as well? See the piston diameters on the air. 8 Α. Q. Do you agree or not? 16:03:41 10 Actually no, because I have the two pistons here and 11 if I put them together, you know apart from taller, they're 12 almost the same air piston inside of it. The grease end is 13 different. 14 Ο. Line 6. "Question: Okay. So there are many differences. 16:04:15 15 16 That's what you" -- just reading from Page 220, Line 6. "So there are many differences. That's what you said, right?" 17 18 There was an objection. 19 "Answer: There are differences in the pump, yes. 16:04:30 20 "Question: The internal components inside the 21 housing? 22 "Answer: That's correct. 23 "Question: Okay. So the outside thing that contains 24 it could be shaped differently, right? 16:04:41 25 "Answer: The outside could be shaped differently."

1 Did I read that correct?

16:06:16 25

16:06:04 20

16:05:36 15

16:05:21 10

16:04:59

- A. Yeah. And if you would shape the outside differently, for example, you put like a bubble on the side, that would have no function. Like when you have -- when you put a bubble on the side of that reservoir, on the -- on that grease cylinder and you would put like a little cork screw thing on it, then that would be something nonfunctional on the outside. This outside housing is a direct result of the size of this piston and the air pressure that's going underneath that piston.
- Q. But, they're different sizes. The Groeneveld and the Lubecore, you just testified, are different sizes?
- A. Yeah, the top of this piston. I can't understand that you don't understand it. I'm sorry. Like you have -- if you look at me for here, this piston here, this piston here comes from the Groeneveld pump. The air side of it is very similar, yeah, but the grease side of it is not similar. The one goes in there, and the other one does not go in there. It won't fit. So the difference lies in the -- the difference lies in the top of the piston, not in the bottom of the piston.
- Q. We're going to talk about it not fitting in a second, but first of all, you just said that the grease piston is a different size, but the -- but the air piston is the same, is that what you just said?

1	A. Except for talking when I heard Martin testify
2	about tolerances, yeah, tolerances to me, they are very
3	close when I look at these with the naked eye, I don't see
4	the difference in the size of these diameter of these
16:06:33 5	pistons.
6	Q. There are differences, but you just can't visually see
7	them?
8	A. The differences that I can see here on the grease end
9	of it and not the air end of it. The rib shapes are
16:06:45 10	different as well.
11	Q. There are differences, but you just can't see them by
12	looking at them, right?
13	MR. ANASTOS: Objection.
14	THE COURT: Sustained.
16:06:52 15	MS. MICHELSON: I mean he just said that.
16	MR. ANASTOS: No, he didn't.
17	THE COURT: No, he didn't say that.
18	MS. MICHELSON: I thought he did.
19	THE COURT: Well, he didn't.
16:06:57 20	MS. MICHELSON: It's hard for me to hear?
21	THE COURT: Well, ask the question.
22	$oldsymbol{Q}$. So the so the bottom part of this could be a
23	different size and you could still achieve the same amount
24	of grease pressure if you made a different calculation,
16:07:08 25	right?

- 805 Eissis - Cross 1 Α. Can you please repeat that? The bottom size of the piston could be a different 2 0. 3 what? The square area of the piston would be? 4 The square area of the piston? Α. 5 Could be a different size, right? 16:07:29 Q. 6 Yeah. Α. 7 And you could calculate -- make calculations so that 0. you still achieve the same amount of grease output and 8 9 grease pressure, right? 16:07:42 10 No, that's impossible. 11 And air pressure? Ο. 12 That's impossible. Because the air piston and grease 13 piston and the stroke give you the volume, yeah, so if you make this smaller, and you keep that the same, the ratio 14 16:07:59 15 changes and you are going to get grease piston. 16 Q. Right. You change the way your piston look, but can 17 create the same results? You can get the same pressure. 18 You can get the same pressure, right? 19 You make it smaller, you get less pressure. Α. If you --Q.
- 16:08:14 20

21

22

23

24

- Small, less volume. You need about 40 CC's of grease Α. to make a grease system work.
- If you keep the ratio the same, though, that's not so, Q. right?
- 16:08:23 25 Α. Excuse me?

- Eissis Cross 1 You can keep the ratio the same. The sizes can be Q. 2 different, but the ratio can be the same? 3 No, when the sizes are different, the ratio is not the Α. 4 same. You're incorrect. 16:08:35 5 Leak 1 to 20 is the same 2 to 40, isn't it? Same ratio, isn't it, sir? 6 7 You're right about that, yeah. Α. So I'm correct. Now, I withdraw that. 8 Q. 9 So you just said something about so the part --16:08:58 10 internal parts actually don't fit together of the Groeneveld 11 and the Lubecore. Did you just say that? It looks like 12 it's the same --13 What I just said is that this Groeneveld piston does 14 not fit in the Lubecore piston, that's correct. 16:09:11 15 So the parts of the two systems, the internal parts, Q. 16 in fact, are not compatible and interchangeable with each 17 other, are they? 18 You have to -- what we do with that, you have to -- if Α. 19 you want to replace internal components in the pump, you
 - you want to replace internal components in the pump, you have to replace those as a group of components. So if I wanted to put -- see this air piston is pretty close to the same size. So I wanted to put a Lubecore piston in a Groeneveld pump, as I explained earlier, then I would also have to put the grease piston with it. So what you do then is you would have to take this grease piston outside the

16:09:25 20

21

22

23

24

16:09:41 25

1 Groeneveld pump and you make it a set. So this and this, 2 this hole to me is the same, very close. And if you would stick that in the Groeneveld pump and you change this one as 3 4 well, then you could make that work and probably display as 16:10:00 5 well, probably different here as well. Then you put like a 6 little kit in there, and like same reservoir, you have that 7 six kilogram pump. If you look closely at them, I can see where the bear -- the height about this is different so the 8 reservoir would fit, and I would also have to replace the 16:10:19 10 quide rod to make it whole, set fit. So you have to make a 11 little replacement set instead of just part for part. So --12 but the pump, as I explained earlier, can just be bolted in 13 place of the Groeneveld, Bijur, Ecostar. 14 0. So the -- so the Lubecore pump -- so the Lubecore 16:10:38 15 parts are not compatible with leading brands, are they? 16 Α. Okay. You are totally taking it out of context again 17 because I just explained to you that the Lubecore parts you 18 talk about, Lubecore parts, this system has 50 other 19 components in it besides the pump. So there's lining, 16:10:56 20 there's fittings, there is solder rod. It's a timer, riding harnesses, you know, the reservoir glass on the four 21 22 kilogram pump, I think can be just changed the six. We give 23 instruction to our distributors if they want to put a 24 reservoir glass on, a six kilogram glass on a Groeneveld 16:11:15 25 pump, you have to replace a set. You cannot just do that.

1 Same thing here. If my distributor would try to change a 2 piston or an O-ring only, it won't fit. So we give 3 instructions to them in a technical bulletin. If you want 4 to do that, then you need to do this as a set as a kit, so. 16:11:32 5 So the pump --Q. 6 Compatible lines are compatible. This is, that is 7 not, and we instruct our distributors what is and what is not. 8 Q. So the internal components of the two pumps are not 16:11:47 10 compatible? 11 MR. ANASTOS: Objection. 12 THE COURT: Overruled. 13 THE WITNESS: This piston by itself, this 14 piston by itself is not compatible. 16:12:02 15 Okay. So -- and they're not interchangeable? Q. 16 Α. They are interchangeable, as I said. 17 Well, Mr. Martin Vermeulen said they're not. Do you disagree with him? According to you, he's the guy that 18 19 put --16:12:15 20 I don't agree with Martin on that because I know if 21 you put these two together, it actually works. 22 If you add extra things to it, you can kind of cut and Q. 23 paste and fit? 24 Α. Yeah.

16:12:25 25

Q.

Okay.

1 Mr. Martin Vermeulen said if you try to do such a 2 thing you're going to have problems and he, in fact, has 3 seen that occur. Do you recall his testimony? 4 Yeah, I heard him say that, yeah. Α. 16:12:39 5 So -- and he actually seen problems with that, 6 remember that? 7 MR. ANASTOS: Objection. THE COURT: Objection sustained. 8 66-1 and 2, Plaintiff's Exhibit. This is promotional 9 Ο. 16:13:01 10 material that Lubecore prepares and gives to its 11 distributors and end user customers? 12 That's correct. Α. 13 And here's the reverse of it. I'll just turn it over. 14 You recognize that? 16:13:14 15 Yeah, I do. Α. 16 Okay. And there are pictures of your pumps here, the four liter and then the six liter? 17 18 Α. Yeah. 19 Okay. And here it says right next to the picture, Q. 16:13:31 20 parts are compatible with leading brands? 21 Yeah. Α. 22 Okay. No other explanation there, sir, is there? Q. 23 MR. ANASTOS: Objection. 24 THE COURT: Objection overruled.

That means you can answer the question.

16:13:37 2.5

Q.

		H13313 C1033
	1	A. Excuse me?
	2	Q. There's no further explanation in your promotional
	3	materials and brochures that are handed out to your
	4	distributors that you prepare and that end up in the hands
16:13:50	5	of end users?
	6	A. Without further explanation comes in by means of
	7	technical bulletins, to our distributors. You don't put it
	8	all on a brochure would be much for customers, we keep it
	9	clean.
16:14:06	LO	Q. Go to customers, meaning end users, this Plaintiff's
1	L1	Exhibit 66, right?
1	L2	A. It goes to end user, yeah.
1	L3	Q. Lubecore offers a warranty program, right?
1	L 4	A. That's correct.
16:14:17	L5	Q. And the warranty program basically is that if you use
1	L 6	Lubecore's Steadylube, brand grease with an EP-0 ALS system,
1	L7	you provide a manufacturer's warranty, right?
1	L8	A. No, actually they provide, always provide
1	L 9	manufacturer's warranty. We provide additional warranty if
16:14:39 2	20	you use the Lubecore grease.
2	21	Q. Thank you.
2	22	THE COURT: How much more do you have of this
2	23	witness?
2	24	MS. MICHELSON: A bit.
16:14:48 2	25	THE COURT: Okay, folks. We have a couple

other things to do this afternoon. So what we'll do is break for the day. I'm sure that won't meet with too much protest.

(Laughter.)

THE COURT: So I think that we're winding down. We may -- the case may conclude tomorrow, which means you may hear all the evidence that's going to be presented and the next order of business, of course, would be the arguments of the lawyers. And then I will instruct you on the law that applies in the case.

So it's important that you still maintain an open mind, that you don't form or express any opinion about any aspect of the case. Keep an open mind about it, and then wait until you hear everything before you start coming to conclusion, have a good evening and we'll meet the same time, same place.

(Proceedings in the absence of the jury:)

THE COURT: We took this little break to prepare ourselves for the end of the case. And the Plaintiff -- excuse me. The Defendants have patiently waited to argue their Rule 50 motion. So you're welcome to do it.

MR. ANASTOS: Thank you, your Honor.

Groeneveld has asserted several claims against the Defendant Lubecore. Many of them turn on the same issues

16:14:56 5

7

8

6

1

2

3

4

S

16:15:09 10

11 12

13

14

16:15:22 15

16

17

18

19

16:16:02 20

21

22

23

24

16:16:24 25

	Elssis - Cross
1	with respect to trade dress. So the Rule 50 motion will
2	address all of the claims asserted by Groeneveld, and I'll
3	start initially with the trade dress.
4	We all know so far, or we all know in this case, they
16:16:43 5	have to establish three things. Two things in order to
6	establish that they have trade dress is protectable, and the
7	third is to establish that there's confusion in the
8	marketplace.
9	To establish protectability, they must establish that
16:16:54 10	they're
11	THE COURT: Are you doing that? Are you doing
12	that or am I doing that? I'm trying to get the lectern on.
13	DEPUTY CLERK: Oh, sorry. I turned it off.
14	THE COURT: We have to play this, too. Hate
16:17:20 15	to have you do all this work and not have you and Ms.
16	Michelson recorded for posterity.
17	MR. ANASTOS: Right.
18	THE COURT: Okay, Tom. Go ahead.
19	MR. ANASTOS: Okay.
16:18:51 20	The Rule 50 motion will address all the claims
21	asserted by Groeneveld in this case
22	THE COURT: Oh.
23	MR. ANASTOS: Tape 3.
24	(Laughter.)
16:18:59 25	THE COURT: You're supposed to be a good

813 Eissis - Cross 1 actor. So false starts and stops. 2 (Laughter.) 3 MR. ANASTOS: Cue me, please. 4 THE COURT: You want to practice and --16:19:08 5 MR. ANASTOS: Already got two shots at it. 6 Lubecore hereby moves under Rule 50 for judgment, as a 7 matter of law, under all the claims asserted against it by 8 Groeneveld Transport Efficiency, Inc. in this case on the 9 ground that there's insufficient evidence to send the case 16:21:35 10 to the jury on any of the claims, and that Lubecore is 11 entitled to judgment as a matter of law. 12 A few of the claims stem from the Lanham Act, Section 13 1125 (a). 14 THE COURT: You don't have to speak that fast 16:21:46 15 now. 16 MR. ANASTOS: You told me you only have --17 THE COURT: I know, but that doesn't mean you 18 pick up the pace. Focus. Focus on what's important. 19 (Laughter.) 16:21:54 20 MR. ANASTOS: They have to prove three things 21 to prove a trade dress case. 22 THE COURT: Who does? 23 MR. ANASTOS: The Plaintiff. 24 THE COURT: All right.

MR. ANASTOS: Groeneveld. Two of the things

16:22:00 25

814

1 to establish that they have protectable trade dress, and the 2 third, that there is confusion. 3 The first element they must prove is that the 4 configuration of the EP-0 pump at issue in this case is 16:22:15 5 nonfunctional. Under Sixth Circuit law -- and I would be 6 glad to either start reciting the cites to you --7 functionality is a question of engineering necessity. If engineering necessity --8 9 THE COURT: Let me stop you and ask you a 16:22:31 10 question. 11 MR. ANASTOS: Sure. 12 THE COURT: Who has to prove whether the item 13 that they're seeking trade dress protection for is nonfunctional? 14 16:22:41 15 MR. ANASTOS: Plaintiff. 16 THE COURT: Is there any evidence in this case 17 to prove that? 18 MR. ANASTOS: No. 19 THE COURT: Go on. 16:22:48 20 MR. ANASTOS: Secondly, they must prove that 21 they're --22 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I interrupt you again. 23 If they can't prove that, is the case over? 24 MR. ANASTOS: Yes, it is, your Honor. They 16:22:57 25 will fail to establish the elements even for protectability.

16:24:31 25

16:24:12 20

16:23:50 15

16:23:17 5

16:23:36 10

The second element they have to prove is secondary meaning; meaning that in the eyes of the public, the look of that pump evokes the source of the pump Groeneveld rather than the look, rather than that pump itself. There has been zero testimony in this case that in the eyes of the public, the look of that pump identifies Groeneveld. The testimony in this case has been that the pump is advertised 1,000 percent of the time with its green Groeneveld label on it. There's been zero evidence in this case that anybody has ever seen the pump without the big green Groeneveld label on it.

It is advertised with other pumps that Groeneveld has. It has no Groeneveld -- Groeneveld does not hold it out to the world as being any kind of a special pump despite the testimony you have heard. We've seen exhibits where on promotional display vehicles, there are two or three pumps displayed. We have seen exhibits where on a -- an exhibit where on a repair truck of some kind, where the EP-0 pump was on one side and a completely different pump was on the other side, meaning that Groeneveld was not trying to attract any particular attention to the EP-0 pump. We saw the web page. We heard Ms. Wilson testify that there's this great grand corporate policy that everything has to focus around the EP-0 pump. We looked at the web page. The EP-0 pump was not on the cover of the web page. And when you get

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

16:26:04 25

16:25:44 20

16:25:22 15

16:24:50 5

16:25:05 10

into the automatic lubrication systems applicable to transportation systems, vehicles of the kind in issue here, again, it was just one of several pumps there.

The only people who even remotely testified about secondary meaning that were outside of the Groeneveld people -- and I have no doubt when the Groeneveld people look at the pump, they think of Groeneveld. They work at Groeneveld. They're around it all the time. And that's the same answer for Mr. DeCleene. Mr. DeCleene is a customer of Groeneveld. He has purchased a lot of these over his life time. He has a fleet. I have no doubt that when he sees the shape of the pump, that he thinks that it is a Groeneveld pump. But, that's not the question. The question is in the eyes of the buying public itself, the customers and the trucking industry that might be interested in purchasing these pumps, does anybody associate the look of that pump with Groeneveld. And I submit that there's been zero testimony from anybody in the public on that front.

There was not even one person who testified, any non-Groeneveld purchaser or interested purchasers that said that when they look at the shape of that pump, they think of Groeneveld. And just basically, when we look at all the marketing materials, we look at the advertising. One of the factors in secondary meaning is advertising. There were

1 numbers that were given by Ms. Wilson for advertising in the 2 United States. There was not a single break down of those numbers with respect to is it advertising for this pump, 3 4 this pump, this pump, this pump, or that pump and I think 16:26:20 5 they have at least five or six of them. The raw numbers 6 tell you absolutely nothing about what Groeneveld is 7 spending its advertising on, and even more importantly, there was zero testimony that any advertising whatsoever is 8 spent on trying to formulate a link in the mind's eye 16:26:38 10 between the shape of that pump and the name Groeneveld. 11 All of the testimony in this case has been that the 12 pump is out there with its label on all of the time. And 13 again, if they don't prove functionality, we don't have to get to secondary meaning. And if they don't prove secondary 14 16:26:56 15 meaning, we don't have to get to likelihood of confusion. 16 THE COURT: Who testified in the Plaintiff's 17 case on the creation of the Groeneveld pump? 18 MR. ANASTOS: Mr. Van der Hulst. 19 THE COURT: And what did he say? 16:27:10 20 MR. ANASTOS: Mr. Van der Hulst is of the 21 opinion that the pump was designed with a distinctive look 22 to it. He also was of the opinion that in terms of 23 functionality, which is primarily I think what he was 24 testifying about, he testified -- he testified that the base

of the pump optimizes the amount of material that's there.

16:27:28 25

1 The base of the pump conforms, essentially conforms t					
2	internal workings of the pump. That you don't waste any				
3	aluminum by adding more to it than needs to be there, which				
4 only makes common sense. Everybody wants to make a pr					
16:27:45 5	that they can that they can source at the lowest cost				
6	possible. And he testified that the cylinder on top of that				
7	is simply a cylinder that matches the size of the base. And				
8	if you made that cylinder of a larger diameter, then the				
9	base would have to be of a larger diameter, which would take				
16:28:04 10	more metal, and the whole thing would cost more.				
11	Similarly, the height of the cylinder is not driven by				
12	any sort of arbitrariness. It's not driven by any idea to				
13	put some fanciful design into this thing. It's driven				
14	entirely by the amount of grease the thing holds so that a				
16:28:25 15	truck driver can make get the grease pump filled up when				
16	it's going in when he's taking the truck in for regular				
17	mileage service intervals.				
18	THE COURT: Did he testify any aspect of the				
19	design was nonfunctional?				
16:28:38 20	MR. ANASTOS: He did not testify any aspect				
21	was nonfunctional.				
22	THE COURT: Okay.				
23	MR. ANASTOS: That would take us to likelihood				
24	of confusion, which				
16:28:53 25	THE COURT: Let me ask you for the likelihood				

	Eissis - Cross		
1	of confusion. Who's that directed to; is it the general		
2	public or is it to		
3	MR. ANASTOS: Consumers.		
4	THE COURT: To the consumer. That means the		
16:29:02 5	people who are going to buy the		
6	MR. ANASTOS: Correct.		
7	THE COURT: item?		
8	MR. ANASTOS: The there has been zero		
9	evidence of any actual confusion in this case.		
16:29:14 10	The Sixth Circuit looks for customer surveys. There's		
11	none of that. There's no evidence of there was no		
12	evidence at all of any customer in this case ever was		
13	confused about purchasing anything. In fact, we were if		
14	you look at our witness list, we were going to we were		
16:29:30 15	going to use the deposition of Steve Osborn in our case, if		
16 they had decided not to use it after they took it because			
17	is he's another guy who has purchased both systems and		
18 testified unequivocally that he knew exactly what he w			
19	getting. He buys some of the Groeneveld ones, he buys some		
16:29:47 20	of the Lubecore ones. He never for a moment said oh, gee, I		
21	think this one is that one or this one is that one.		
22	THE COURT: Didn't Mr. Wapenaar testify that		
23	even anybody with 20/20 vision can tell the difference?		
24	MR. ANASTOS: Mr. Who?		
16:29:58 25	THE COURT: Mr. Wapenaar.		

1	MR. ANASTOS: Yes. I mean who couldn't? I		
2	mean all of the evidence aside from the lack of the		
3	reason there's no testimony of actual confusion in the		
4	marketplace is that there is no possible way to confuse the		
16:30:11 5	looks of these pumps or the sales of these pumps.		
6	They're not sold by the same people. They're not		
7	marketed by the same people. The looks are incredibly		
8	different in terms of the branding of the red, the follower		
9	plate, the red label, Lubecore's trademark on top of it,		
16:30:30 10	Lubecore's trademark on the identification plate on the		
11	front, Lubecore's trademark on the top of the pump, and		
12	Lubecore's trademark emblazoned, I think, two times in the		
13	base of the pump.		
14	There's no confusion because there's just no		
16:30:46 15	confusion. Everybody looks at the labels. The Groeneveld		
16	world here is that you have to suspend belief in labels.		
17	They can only win this case if everybody believes the labels		
18	are meaningless, and none of us believe the labels are		
19	meaningless.		
16:30:58 20	THE COURT: That's not true. The they have		
21	to prove non that the design was nonfunctional, right?		
22	MR. ANASTOS: They have to prove three things.		
23	THE COURT: Yeah. You talk about the		
24	confusion aspect.		
16:31:09 25	MR. ANASTOS: I'm on the confusion aspect.		

Yes, your Honor. There was no testimony at all whatsoever of any kind of confusion in the marketplace, and in fact, the testimony that we heard from everybody was -- even Mr. Wapenaar -- "I didn't know what it was when I first saw it. I walked up to it, and it had a Lubecore label on it and I knew it was a Lubecore." That's what everybody has testified to. There has been no testimony in this case of somebody calling up Groeneveld. Even if they had this, of course, they would have somebody testify, saying I'd really like to buy that red pump of yours. Not there. Zero. No testimony of anyone calling up Lubecore and saying, "Gee, I'd really like to buy that green pump of yours." It's not there. There's no consumer -- no consumer confusion in the marketplace for these products.

Second aspect or another aspect to the lack of confusion goes to the sophisticated customer base and the type of sales that these are. These are not impulse purchases, and I've used that analogy a few times of people going into the drugstore and after they purchase the things they want, walking up to the counter and buying some skittles or something. These are items that — and the testimony is absolutely constant on both sides. These are items that require several sales visits for somebody to consider whether or not they are going to purchase it.

First of all, they have to be — they have to be convinced

16:32:29 25

1 in the first place they're even interested in purchasing an 2 ALS system. And from the testimony we've heard so far, the 3 penetration has not been that incredibly deep in the United 4 States with these systems, but they have to be convinced of 16:32:44 5 that first. They have to see pricing, they have to 6 understand how it works, they have to understand the 7 reliability, they have to make a cost benefit decision as to whether or not they want to go with anybody's product, and 8 this is not something that is done on a one-time visit. So 16:32:59 10 the sophistication of the customer base also dictates that 11 there's no confusion. 12 They work with the sales people. They get the 13 literature from the sales people. And there's been no 14 testimony that any customer when seeing the Lubecore 16:33:12 15 literature or talking to a Lubecore salesperson has again

thought they were buying a Groeneveld pump.

THE COURT: Let's have Ms. Michelson address these issues. And if there's something more we can do tomorrow morning, we can do that. Is that fair?

MR. ANASTOS: Fair enough.

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

16:33:52 25

16:33:27 20

MS. MICHELSON: Thank you, your Honor.

Your Honor, I -- your Honor, as I listened to Mr. Anastos, I heard him say several times that despite the testimony, despite the evidence, and arguing the weight of it, which is not the appropriate inquiry obviously, if

	Eissis - Cross			
1	1 there's any testimony on the point, then it is appropriate			
2	to submit it to the jury. I understand the Defense does not			
3	has a different view of the evidence, but			
4	THE COURT: What's your claim?			
16:34:08 5	MS. MICHELSON: On the trade dress?			
6	THE COURT: Um-hum.			
7	MS. MICHELSON: The thing doesn't he			
8	intentionally imitated, looks exactly the same. It doesn't			
9	need to look that way in order to function. It doesn't			
16:34:17 10	it's not only a shape case, but it's also a shape case.			
11	It's a configuration case. It's the overall appearance of			
12	the thing is a Groeneveld. And it is an intentional copy.			
13	I think the evidence is really			
14	THE COURT: Now, take a deep breath.			
16:34:32 15	So your claim is a trade dress violation under the			
16	Lanham Act on the design of the Lubecore pump?			
17	MS. MICHELSON: Yeah, the overall.			
18 THE COURT: Design.				
19	MS. MICHELSON: Visual, external look of the			
16:34:47 20	pump.			
21	THE COURT: What is that called; the design of			
22	the Lubecore pump?			
23	MS. MICHELSON: They call it sometimes product			
24	configuration, shape cases. They used to call them design.			
16:34:55 25	THE COURT: Okay.			

1	And do you agree with Mr. Anastos that you have to	
2	prove the three elements of functionality or	
3	nonfunctionality, and secondary meaning if you prove that	
4	it's nonfunctional, your product is nonfunctional and	
16:35:11 5	confusion in the marketplace?	
6	MS. MICHELSON: The Plaintiff bears the burden	
7	of proof on that, your Honor.	
8	THE COURT: What evidence did you offer to	
9	show that the your pump is nonfunctional? The design,	
16:35:25 10	the design of your pump is not nonfunctional?	
11	MS. MICHELSON: The external shape of the	
12	pump, the external presentation of it is a shell in which	
13	the internal functional components are housed. It does not	
14	have to be	
THE COURT: No. I said what evidence.		
16	MS. MICHELSON: Oh. Mr. Van der Hulst	
17	17 testified to that. Mr. Eissis testified to that.	
18	Mr. Eissis said in the excerpts that we read into the record	
19	and saw him on his videotape that it does not have to be	
16:35:55 20	arranged exactly this way to work.	
21	THE COURT: No, no. Don't be talking about	
22	Eissis, Mr. Eissis. We're talking about the Groeneveld	
23	pump.	
24	MS. MICHELSON: No, those are admissions.	
16:36:03 25	THE COURT: We're talking about the Groeneveld	

	Eissis - Cross				
1	pump. What evidence do you have that the Groeneveld pump				
2	design is nonfunctional?				
3	MS. MICHELSON: Mister				
4	THE COURT: You have to prove that, right?				
16:36:14 5	MS. MICHELSON: Yes.				
6	THE COURT: Okay. And I was just asking what				
7	evidence do you have.				
8	MS. MICHELSON: Mr. Van der Hulst testified				
9	that it is not essential that it could be shaped				
16:36:22 10	differently on the outside and still function, that it is				
11	that the functional components are inside the housing of the				
12	pump.				
13	THE COURT: So there's somewhere in the				
14	evidence that you can find for me by tomorrow morning that				
16:36:32 15	he testified that they could have designed the exterior look				
16	differently and have the same that the design here is				
17	nonfunctional?				
18	MS. MICHELSON: Yes.				
19	THE COURT: Okay. I'll be looking forward to				
16:36:45 20	seeing that because I don't remember him saying that.				
21	MS. MICHELSON: We'll we'll put it on				
22	THE COURT: That really seems to me that we				
23	listened to a week of testimony, and Judge Baughman listened				
24	to a week of testimony, and come down to the ultimate issue				
16:36:59 25	here is that if I listened this is not what you have				

	Eissis - Cross
1	to prove, of course, but the Mr. Vermeulen said that
2	every aspect of the Lubecore pump is 100 percent functional.
3	MS. MICHELSON: Well, your Honor, the fact
4	that the parts have a function, that does not mean the way
16:37:18 5	they are arranged is essential to the use and purpose of the
6	item which that
7	THE COURT: Okay. I'll look forward to
8	tomorrow morning you finding me something that you offered
9	in your case-in-chief that would show that the Groeneveld
16:37:32 10	pump design is nonfunctional so the jury could come to that
11	decision, so they could then go to Step 2 and/or Step 3.
12	MS. MICHELSON: Okay.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. ANASTOS: May I say one more thing, your
16:37:45 15	Honor?
16	THE COURT: Um-hum.
17	MR. ANASTOS: As a matter of law, this issue
18	could it have been designed a different way, it's a red
19	herring.
16:37:51 20	THE COURT: It is. I understand that. And
21	that doesn't mean that you have to have evidence in your
22	case to show that the design is nonfunctional. That is your
23	claim that you said the appearance or the look or whatever,
24	and then somebody has to testify, some with the authority
16:38:08 25	to do that to say that it was designed that way and it's

	Eissis - Cross			
1	nonfunctional. Okay.			
2	MS. MICHELSON: The look or the outside look,			
3	appearance of it and the shape on the outside is not			
4	dictated by what's going on on the inside, and we will look			
16:38:23 5	in the record and have that for you.			
6	THE COURT: Well, all right. You know what			
7	I'm saying?			
8	MS. MICHELSON: I do.			
9	THE COURT: Because I've been trying to listen			
16:38:29 10	very carefully throughout the whole trial about that. When			
11	I read Judge Baughman's opinion, he was pretty clear he			
12	heard all this similar evidence anyway and found that there			
13	was the design of the Groeneveld pump was nonfunctional.			
14	MS. MICHELSON: And the same way that a car,			
16:38:47 15	the engine is on the inside, that's what makes it go, but			
16	that doesn't mean the outside has to look in exactly a			
17	particular way for it to drive down the street.			
18	THE COURT: I'll hear you tomorrow morning.			
19	MS. MICHELSON: Okay.			
16:38:57 20	THE COURT: All right?			
21	MS. MICHELSON: I have something here they're			
22	pulling up quickly, or do it tomorrow?			
23	THE COURT: You can read it to me.			
24	MS. MICHELSON: Part 4, Part 4.			
16:39:13 25	MR. KUNSELMAN: Your Honor, this is from the			

		Eissis - Cross
	1	video.
	2	MS. MICHELSON: EP-0 did not have to look this
	3	way on the outside. It could have looked different and
	4	still functioned the same.
16:39:22	5	MR. KUNSELMAN: Part 4
	6	THE COURT: That's not the testimony, that
	7	they could have made it differently. The test is, is it
	8	made in a way that in a functional way. That's the test;
	9	not could you do something different. That's what what
16:39:40 10		is it, Caryoto or something
-	L1	MR. ANASTOS: The inward test, it's
-	L2	functional, essential to the use or purpose of the article
-	L3	or it affects the cost or quality of the article.
-	L 4	MS. MICHELSON: It's not essential if you
16:39:50 15 co		could make the shape and the configuration the way it looks
-	L 6	on the outside differently, and it would still work, it's no
-	L7	longer essential.
-	L8	MR. ANASTOS: But, the whole testimony was
-	L9	that it affects the cost or quality to
16:40:00 2	20	THE COURT: Right. I guess the answer is did
2	21	you have somebody why did they make it this way?
2	22	MS. MICHELSON: I believe Mr. Van der Hulst
2	23	said because they did the inside first and then they put
2	24	something around it. Commercial people were involved in the

process of getting the product to market so it would have a

16:40:16 25

		Eissis - Cross			
	1	distinctive look, and because it did look different from			
	2 everybody else's that was on the market and it act				
	3	does we've shown it does look different from everybody			
	4 else's on the market, and I believe he said there was				
16:40:30	5	there was an effort to do that to distinguish themselves in			
the marketplace and when they entered with their own					
7 proprietary product.					
	8	THE COURT: All right. I'll look forward to			
9 seeing that tomorrow.					
16:40:43 10		MS. MICHELSON: Okay.			
-	11	THE COURT: Okay? All right. We'll see you			
-	12	same time.			
-	13	MR. ANASTOS: 8:00? 8:00 or 8:30?			
- -	14	THE COURT: I'll be here 8:15 so we can talk			
16:40:52	about this. We have to deal with this because I don't				
-	16	remember that testimony. Remember what the test is.			
-	17	MS. MICHELSON: I will, and we will look.			
-	18	THE COURT: Okay. I suggest to you relook at			
-	19	Judge Baughman's opinion, too, and the definitions. All			
16:41:10 2	16:41:10 20 right?				
,	21	See you in the morning.			
22		(Proceedings adjourned at 4:41 p.m.)			
4	23				
2	24				
2	25				

Case: 1:10-cv-00702-DCN Doc #: 324 Filed: 09/05/12 159 of 159. PageID #: 8665

	Eissis - Cross		830
1	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF JAN EISSIS	U	
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION OF JAN EISSIS		
3			
4	CERTIFICATE		
5	I certify that the foregoing is a correc	ct	
6	transcript from the record of proceedings in the		
7	above-entitled matter.		
8			
9			
10			
11	s/Shirle Perkins		
12	Shirle M. Perkins, RDR, CRR U.S. District Court - Room 7-189		
13	801 West Superior Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113		
14	(216) 357-7106		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			